INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL

Ι

The United States of America, the French Republic, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

Against

Hermann Wilhelm Goering, Rudolf Hess, Joachim von Ribbentrop, Robert Ley, Wilhelm Keitel, Ernst Kaltenbrunner, Alfred Rosenberg, Hans Frank, Wilhelm Frick, Julius Streicher, Walther Funk, Hjalmar Schacht, Gustav Krupp von Bohlen und Halbach, Karl Doenitz, Erich Raeder, Baldur von Schirach, Fritz Sauckel, Alfred Jodl, Martin Bormann, Franz von Papen, Arthur Seyss-Inquart, Albert Speer, Constantin von Neurath and Hans Fritzsche, individually and as members of any of the following groups or organizations to which they respectively belonged, namely, die Reichsregierung (Reich Cabinet), das Korps des Politischen Leiter der Nationalsozialistischen Deutschen Arbeiterpartei (Leadership Corps of the Nazi party), die Schutzstaffeln, Nationalsozialistischen Deutschen Arbeiterpartei, commonly known as the "SS" and including die Sicherheitsdienst, commonly known as the "SD"; die Geheime Staatspolizei, (Secret State Police, commonly known as the "Gestapo"), die Sturmabteilungen der N.S.D.A.P., commonly known as the "SA," and the General Staff and High Command of the German Armed Forces, all as defined in Appendix B. Defendants.

TT

Indictment

I. The United States of America, the French Republic, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics by the undersigned, Robert H. Jackson, Francois de Menthon, Sir Hartley Shawcross and R. A. Rudenko, duly appointed to represent their respective governments in the investigation of the charges against and the prosecution of the major war criminals, pursuant to the agreement of London dated 8 August 1945, and the Charter of this Tribunal annexed thereto, hereby accuse as guilty in the respects hereinafter set forth, of crimes against peace, war crimes and crimes against humanity and of a common plan or conspiracy to commit these crimes all as defined in the Charter of the Tribunal and accordingly name as defendants in this cause and as indicted on the counts hereinafter set out, Hermann Wilhelm Goering, Rudolf Hess, Joachim von Ribbentrop, Robert Ley, Wilhelm Keitel, Ernst Kaltenbrunner, Alfred Rosenberg, Hans Frank, Wilhelm Frick, Julius Streicher, Walter Funk, Hjalmar Schacht, Gustav Krupp von Bohlen und Halbach, Karl Doenitz, Erich Raeder, Baldur von Schirach, Fritz Sauckel, Alfred Jodl, Martin Bormann, Franz von Papen, Arthur Seyss-Inquart, Albert Speer, Constantin von Neurath and Hans Fritzsche, individually and as members of any of the groups or organizations next hereinafter named.

II. The following are named as groups or organizations since dissolved, which should be declared criminal by reason of their aims and the means used for the accomplishment thereof and in connection with the conviction of such of the named defendants as were members thereof: Die Reichsregierung (Reich Cabinet), das Korps der Politischen Leiter der Nationalsozialistischen Deutschen Arbeiterpartei (Leadership Corps of the Nazi party), die Schutzstaffeln der Nationalsozialistischen Deutschen Arbeiterpartei, commonly known as the "SS", and including die Sicherheitsdienst, commonly known as the "SD"; die Geheime Staatspolizei (Secret State Police, commonly known as the "Gestapo"); die Sturmabteilungen der N.S.D.A.P., commonly known as the "SA," and the General Staff and High Command of the German Command of the German Armed Forces. The identity and membership of the groups or organizations referred to in the foregoing titles are hereinafter in Appendix B more particularly defined.

COUNT ONE:

THE COMMON PLAN OR CONSPIRACY

Charter, Article 6, Especially (A)

(The text of Article 6 of the Charter appears at the end of this article).

III. Statement of the offense: All the defendants, with divers other persons, during a period of years preceding 8 May, 1945, participated as leaders, organizers, instigators or accomplices in the formulation or execution of a common plan or conspiracy to commit, or which involved the commission of, crimes against peace, war crimes and crimes against humanity, as defined in the Charter of this Tribunal, and, in accordance with the provisions of the charter, are individually responsible for their own acts and for all acts committed by any persons in the execution of such plan or conspiracy. The common plan or conspiracy embraced the commission of crimes against peace, in that the defendants planned, prepared, initiated and waged wars of aggression, which were also wars in violation of international treaties, agreements or assurances, in the development and course of the common plan or conspiracy it came to embrace the commission of war crimes, in that it contemplated and the defendants determined upon and carried out ruthless wars against countries and populations in violation of the rules and customs of war, including as typical and systematic means by which the wars were prosecuted, murder, ill treatment, deportation for slave labor and for other purposes of civilian populations of occupied territories, murder and ill treatment of prisoners of war and of persons on the high seas, the taking and killing of hostages, the plunder of public and private property, the wanton destruction of cities, towns, and villages, and devastation not justified by military necessity.

The common plan or conspiracy contemplated and came to embrace as typical and systematic means, and the defendants determined upon and committed, crimes against humanity both within Germany and within occupied territories, including murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation and other inhumane

acts committed against civilian populations before and during the war persecutions on political, racial or religious grounds in execution of the plan for preparing and prosecuting aggressive or illegal wars, many of such acts and persecutions being violations of the domestic laws of the countries where perpetrated.

- IV. <u>Particulars of the nature and development of the common plan or conspiracy.</u>
 - (A) Nazi party as the central core of the common plan or conspiracy.

In 1921 Adolf Hitler became the supreme leader or Fuehrer of the Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei (National Socialist German Workers party, also known as the Nazi party), which had been founded in Germany in 1920. He continued as such through the period covered by this indictment.

The Nazi party, together with certain of its subsidiary organizations, became the instrument of cohesion among the defendants and their co-conspirators and an instrument for the carrying out of the aims and purposes of their conspiracy. Each defendant became a member of the Nazi party and of the conspiracy with knowledge of their aims and purposes, or, with such knowledge, became an accessory to their aims and purposes at some stage of the development of the conspiracy.

(B) Common objectives and methods of conspiracy.

The aims and purposes of the Nazi party and of the defendants and divers other persons from time to time associated as leaders, members, supporters or adherents of the Nazi party (hereinafter called collectively the "Nazi conspirators"), were, or came to be, to accomplish the following by any means deemed opportune, including unlawful means, and contemplating ultimate resort to threat of force, force and aggressive war:

- (1) To abrogate and overthrow the Treaty of Versailles and its restrictions upon the military armament and activity of Germany;
- (2) To acquire the territories lost by Germany as the result of the World War of 1914-1918 and other territories in Europe asserted by the Nazi conspirators to be occupied principally by so-called "racial Germans";
- (3) To acquire still further territories in Continental Europe and elsewhere claimed by the Nazi conspirators to be required by the "racial Germans" as "Lebensraum," or living space, all at the expense of neighboring and other countries. The aims and purposes of the Nazi conspirators were not fixed or static but evolved and expanded as they acquired progressively greater power and became able to make more effective application of threats of force and threats of aggressive war.

When their expanding aims and purposes became finally so great as to provoke such strength of resistance as could be overthrown only by armed force

and aggressive war, and not simply by the opportunistic methods theretofore used, such as fraud, deceit, threats, intimidation, fifth-column activities and propaganda, the Nazi conspirators deliberately planned, determined upon and launched their aggressive wars and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances by the phases and steps hereinafter more particularly described.

Secret 10

(C) Doctrinal techniques of the common plan or conspiracy.

To incite others to join in the common plan or conspiracy, and as a means of securing for the Nazi conspirators the highest degree of control over the German community, they put forth, disseminated, and exploited certain doctrines, among others, as follows:

- (1) That persons of so-called "German blood" (as specified by the Nazi conspirators) were a "master race" and were accordingly entitled to subjugate, dominate or exterminate other "races" and peoples.
- (2) That the German people should be ruled under the Fuehrerprinzip (leadership principle according to which power was to reside in a Fuehrer from whom sub-leaders were to derive authority in a hierarchical order, each sub-leader to owe unconditional obedience to his immediate superior but to be absolute in his own sphere of jurisdiction; and the power of the leader-ship was to be unlimited, extending to all phases of public and private life.)
 - (3) That war was a noble and necessary activity of Germans.
- (4) That the leadership of the Nazi party, as the sole bearer of the foregoing and other doctrines of the Nazi party, was entitled to shape the structure, policies and practices of the German State and all related institutions, to direct and supervise the activities of all individuals within the State and to destroy all opponents.
 - ______(D) The acquiring of totalitarian control of Germany (Political):
 - (1) First steps in acquisition of control of State machinery.

In order to accomplish their aims and purposes, the Nazi conspirator prepared to seize totalitarian control over Germany to assure that no effective resistance against them could arise within Germany itself. After the failure of the Munich Putsch of 1923 aimed at the overthrow of the Weimar Republic by direct action, the Nazi conspirators set out through the Nazi party to undermine and capture the German Government by "legal" forms supported by terrorism. They created and utilized, as a party formation, die Sturmabteilungen (SA, a semi-military, voluntary organization of young men trained for and committed to the use of violence, whose mission was to make the party the master of the streets).

(2) Control acquired.

On 30 January 1933, Hitler became Chancellor of the German Republic. After the Reichstag fire of 28 February 1933 clauses of the Weimar Constitution guaranteeing personal liberty, freedom of speech, of the press, of association and assembly were suspended. The Nazi conspirators secured the passage by the Reichstag of a "law for the protection of the people and the Reich" giving Hitler and the members of his then cabinet plenary powers of legislation. The Nazi conspirators retained such powers after having changed the members of the cabinet. The conspirators caused all political parties except the Nazi party to be prohibited. They caused the Nazi party to be established as a para-governmental organization with extensive and extraordinary privileges.

Resistance Exterminated

The state of the s (3) Consolidation of control.

Park Lung and

estina enviole

Thus possessed of the machinery of the German State, the Nazi conspirators set about the consolidation of their position of power within Germany, the extermination of potential internal resistance and the placing of the German nation on a military footing.

- and the man of the time of the (a) The Nazi conspirators reduced the Reichstag to a body of their own nominees and curtailed the freedom of popular elections throughout the country. They transformed the several states, provinces and municipalities, which had exercised semi-autonomous powers, into hardly more than administrative organs of the central government. They united the offices of the President and Chancellor in the person of Hitler, instituted a widespread purge of civil servants and severely restricted the independence of the judiciary and rendered it subservient to Nazi ends. The conspirators greatly enlarged existing state and party organizations, established a network of new state and party organizations and "coordinated" state agencies with the result that German life was dominated by Nazi doctrine and practice and progressively mobilized for the accomplishment of their aims. residence introductions distributed belong territories
 - (b) In order to make their rule secure from attack and to instill fear in the heartsof the German people, the Nazi conspirators established and extended a system of terror against opponents and supposed suspected opponents of the regime. They imprisoned such persons without judicial process and subjected them to persecution, degradation, despoilment, enslavement, torture and murder. These concentration camps were established early in 1933 under the direction of the defendant Goering and expanded as a fixed part of the terroristic policy and method of the conspirators and used by them for the commission of the crimes against humanity hereinafter alleged. Among the principal agencies utilized in the perpetration of these crimes were the SS and the Gestapo, which together with other favored branches or agencies of the state and party were permitted to operate without restraint of law.

Extermination of Opposition

- (c) The Nazi conspirators conceived that, in addition to the suppression of distinctively political opposition, it was necessary to suppress or exterminate certain other movements or groups which they regarded as obstacles to their retention of total control in Germany and to the aggressive aims of the conspiracy abroad. Accordingly:
- (i) The Nazi conspirators destroyed the free trade unions in Germany by confiscating their funds and properties, persecuting their leaders, prohibiting their activities and supplanting them by an affiliated party organization. The leadership principle was introduced into industrial relations, the entrepreneur becoming the leader and the workers becoming the followers. Thus any potential resistance of the workers was frustrated and the productive labor capacity of the German nation was brought under the effective control of the conspirators.
- (ii) The Nazi conspirators, by promoting beliefs and practices incompatible with Christian teaching, sought to subvert the influence of the churches over the people and in particular over the youth of Germany. They avowed their aim to eliminate the Christian churches in Germany and sought to substitute therefor Nazi institutions and Nazi beliefs and pursued a programme of persecution of priests, clergy and members of monastic orders whom they deemed opposed to their purposes and confiscated church property.
- (iii) The persecution by the Nazi conspirators of pacifist groups, including religious movements dedicated to pacifism, was particularly relentless and cruel.
- (d) Implementing their "master race" policy, the conspirators joined in a program of relentless persecution of the Jews, designed to exterminate them. Annihiliation of the Jews became an official state policy, carried out both by official action and by incitements to mob and individual violence. The conspirators openly avowed their purpose. For example, the defendant Rosenberg stated:

"Anti-Semitism is the unifying element of the reconstruction of Germany."

. Another occasion he also stated:

"Germany will regard the Jewish question as solved only after the very last Jew has left the greater Germany living space * * * Europe will have its Jewish question solved only after the very last Jew has left the Continent."

The defendant Ley declared:

"We swear we are not going to abandon the struggle until the last Jew in Europe has been exterminated and is actually dead. It is not enough to isolate the Jewish enemy of mankind. The Jew has got to be exterminated."

Anti-Semitism "Secret Weapon"

On another occasion he also declared:

without the nat

"The second German secret weapon is anti-Semitism, because if it is consistently pursued by Germany, it will become a universal problem which all nations will be forced to consider."

The defendant Streicher declared:

"The sun will not shine on the nations of the earth until the last Jew is dead."

These avowals and incitements were typical of the declarations of the Nazi conspirators through the course of their conspiracy. The program of action against the Jews included disfranchisement, stigmatization, denial of civil rights, subjecting their persons and property to violence, deportation, enslavement, enforced labor, starvation, murder and mass extermination.

The extent to which the conspirators succeeded in their purpose can only be estimated, but the annihiliation was substantially complete in many localities of Europe. Of the 9,600,000 Jews who lived in the parts of Europe under Nazi domination, it is conservatively estimated that 5,700,000 have disappeared, most of them deliberately put to death by Nazi conspirators. Only remnants of the Jewish population of Europe remain.

. Education Reshaped

- (e) In order to make the German people amenable to their will and to prepare them psychologically for war, the Nazi conspirators reshaped the educational system and particularly the education and training of the German youth. The leadership principle was introduced into the schools and the party and affiliated organizations were given wide supervisory powers over education. The Nazi conspirators imposed a supervision of all cultural activities, controlled the dissemination of information and the expression of opinion within Germany as well as the movement of intelligence of all kinds from and into Germany and created vast propaganda machines.
- (f) The Nazi conspirators placed a considerable number of their dominated organizations on a progressively militarized footing with a view to the rapid transformation and use of such organizations whenever necessary as instruments of war.
 - (E) The acquiring of totalitarian control in Germany (Economic):

The economic planning and mobilization for aggressive war.

Having gained political power, the conspirators organized Germany's economy to give effect to their political aims.

- (1) In order to eliminate the possibility of resistance in the economic sphere, they deprived labor of its rights of free industrial and political association as particularized in Paragraph (D)(3)(c)(i) herein.
- (2) They used organizations of Germany's business as instruments of economic mobilization for war.
- (3) They directed Germany's economy toward preparation and equipment of the military machine. To this end they directed finance, capital investment and foreign trade.
- (4) The Nazi conspirators, and in particular the industrialists among them, embarked upon a huge rearmament programme and set out to produce and develop huge quantities of materials of war and to create a powerful military potential.
- (5) With the object of carrying through the preparation for war, the Nazi conspirators set up a series of administrative agencies and authorities. For example, in 1936 they established for this purpose the office of the four-year plan with the defendant Goering as plenipotentiary, vesting it with overriding control over Germany's economy. Furthermore, on 28 August 1939, immediately before launching their aggression against Poland, they appointed the defendant Funk plenipotentiary for economics, and on 30 August 1939, they set up the Ministerial Council for the Defense of the Reich to act as a war cabinet.
 - (F) Utilization of Nazi control for foreign aggression.
- (1) Status of the conspiracy by the middle of 1933 and projected plans. By the middle of the year 1933 the Nazi conspirators, having acquired governmental control over Germany, were in a position to enter upon further and more detailed planning with particular relationship to foreign policy. Their plan was to rearm and to reoccupy and fortify the Rhineland, in violation of the Treaty of Versailles and other treaties, in order to acquire military strength and political bargaining power to be used against other nations.

Versailles Treaty Attacked

(2) The Nazi conspirators decided that for their purpose the Treaty of Versailles must definitely be abrogated and specific plans were made by them and put into operation by 7 March 1936, all of which opened the way for

the major aggressive step to follow, as hereinafter set forth. In the execution of this phase of the conspiracy the Nazi conspirators did the following acts:

or color an

- (a) They led Germany to enter upon a course of secret rearmament from 1933 to March, 1935, including the training of military personnel and the production of munitions of war and the building of an air force.
- (b) On 14 October 1933, they led Germany to leave the International Disarmament Conference and the League of Nations.
- (c) On 10 March 1935, the defendant Goering announced that Germany was building a military air force.
- (d) On 16 March 1935 the Nazi conspirators promulgated a law for universal military service, in which they stated the peacetime strength of the German Army would be fixed at 500,000 men.
- (e) On 21 May 1935, they falsely announced to the world, with intent to deceive and allay fears of aggressive intentions, that they would respect the territorial limitations of the Versailles Treaty and comply with the Locarno Pact.
- (f) On 7 March 1936 they reoccupied and fortified the Rhineland in violation of the Treaty of Versailles and the Rhine Pact of Locarno of 16 October 1925 and falsely announced to the world that "We have no territorial demands to make in Europe."

(3) Aggressive action against Austria and Czechoslovakia.

(a) The 1936-1938 phase of the plan: Planning for the assault on Austria and Czechoslovakia. The Nazi conspirators next entered upon the specific planning for the acquisition of Austria and Czechoslovakia realizing it would be necessary, for military reasons, first to seize Austria before assaulting Czechoslovakia. On 21 May 1935 in a speech to the Reichstag, Hitler stated that:

"Germany neither intends nor wishes to interfere in the internal affairs of Austria, to annex Austria or to conclude an Anschluss."

On 1 May 1936; within two months after the re-occupation of the Rhineland, Hitler stated:

"The lie goes forth again that Germany tomorrow or the day after will fall upon Austria or Czechoslovakia."

Thereafter the Nazi conspirators caused a treaty to be entered into between Austria and Germany on 11 July 1936, Article I of which states that

"The German Government recognizes the full sovereignty of the federated State of Austria in the spirit of the pronouncements of the German Fuehrer and Chancellor of 21 May 1935." Meanwhile plans for aggression in violation of that treaty were being made. By the Autumn of 1937 all noteworthy opposition within the Reich had been crushed. Military preparation for the Austrian action was virtually concluded.

Meeting with Hitler Cited

An influential group of the Nazi conspirators met with Hitler on 5 November 1937 to review the situation. It was reaffirmed that Nazi Germany must have "Lebensraum" in central Europe. It was recognized that such conquest would probably meet resistance which would have to be crushed by force and that their decision might lead to a general war, but this prospect was discounted as a risk worth taking. There emerged from this meeting three possible plans for the conquest of Austria and Czechoslovakia. Which of the three was to be used was to depend upon the developments in the political and military situation in Europe.

It was contemplated that the conquest of Austria and Czechoslovakia would, through compulsory emigration of 2,000,000 persons from Czechoslovakia and 1,000,000 persons from Austria, provide additional food to the Reich for 5,000,000 to 6,000,000 people, strengthen it militarily by providing shorter and better frontiers and make possible the constituting of new armies up to above twelve divisions, thus the aim of the plan against Austria and Czechoslovakia was conceived of not as an end in itself but as a preparatory measure toward the next aggressive steps in the Nazi conspiracy.

(b) The execution of the plan to invade Austria: November, 1937, to March, 1938.

Hitler on 8 February 1938, called Chancellor Schuschnigg to a conference at Berchtesgaden. At the meeting of 12 February 1928, under threat of invasion, Schuschnigg yielded a promise of amnesty to imprisoned Nazis and appointment of Nazis to ministerial posts. He agreed to remain silent until Hitler's 20 February speech, in which Austria's independence was to be reaffirmed, but Hitler in his speech, instead of affirming Austrian independence, declared himself protector of all Germans. Meanwhile subversive activities of Nazis in Austria increased. Schuschnigg on 9 March, 1938, announced a plebiscite for the following Sunday on the question of Austrian independence. On 11 March Hitler sent an ultimatum demanding that the plebiscite be called off or that Germany would invade Austria. Later the same day a second ultimatum threatened an invasion unless Schuschnigg should resign in three hours. Schuschnigg resigned. The defendant Seyss-Inquart, who was appointed Chancellor, immediately invited Hitler to send German troops into Austria to "preserve order." The invasion began on 12 March 1938. On 13 March Hitler by proclamation assumed office as Chief of State of Austria and took command of its armed forces. By a law of the same date Austria was annexed to Germany.

Rlan on Czechoslovakia

albiton n

water to the tell the

- (c) The execution of the plan to invade Czechoslovakia: April, 1938, to March, 1939.
- (i) Simultaneously with their annexation of Austria, the Nazi conspirators gave false assurances to the Czechoslovak Government that they would not attack that country. But within a month they met to plan specific ways and means of attacking Czechoslovakia, and to revise, in the light of the acquisition of Austria, the previous plans for aggression against Czechoslovakia.
- (ii) On 21 April, 1938, the Nazi conspirators met and prepared to launch an attack on Czechoslovakia not later than 1 October 1938. They planned specifically to create an "incident" to "justify" the attack. They decided to launch a military attack only after a period of diplomatic squabbling, which, growing more serious, would lead to the excuse for war, or, in the alternative, to unleash a lightning attack as a result of an "incident" of their own creation. Consideration was given to assassinating the German Ambassador at Prague to create the requisite incident. From and after 21 April 1938, the Nazi conspirators caused to be prepared detailed and precise military plans designed to carry out such an attack at any opportune moment and calculated to overcome all Czechoslovak resistance within four days, thus presenting the world with a fait accompli and so forestalling outside resistance. Throughout the months of May, June, July, August and September these plans were made more specific and detailed and by 3 September 1938 it was decided that all troops were to be ready for action on 28 September 1938:
- (iii) Throughout the same period the Nazi conspirators were agitating the minorities question in Czechoslovakia, and particularly in the Sudetenland, leading to a diplomatic crisis in August and September, 1938. After the Nazi conspirators threatened war, the United Kingdom and France concluded a pact with Germany and Italy at Munich on 29 September 1938, involving the cession of the Sudetenland by Czechoslovakia to Germany. Czechoslovakia was required to acquiesce. On 1 October 1938, German troops occupied the Sudetenland.
 - (iv) On 15 March 1939, contrary to the provisions of the Munich pact itself, the Nazi conspirators caused the completion of their plan by seizing and occupying the major part of Czechoslovakia not ceded to Germany by the Munich pact.
 - (4) Formation of the plan to attack Poland: Preparation and initiation of aggressive war, March, 1939 to September, 1939.
 - (a) With these aggressions successfully consummated, the conspirators had obtained much desired resources and bases and were ready to undertake further aggressions by means of war.

Attack on Poland Decided On

Following assurances to the world of peaceful intentions, an influential group of the conspirators met on 23 May 1939 to consider the further implementation of their plan, the situation was reviewed and it was observed that "the past six years have been put to good use and all measures have been taken in correct sequence and in accordance with our aims," that the national-political unity of the Germans had been substantially achieved and that further successes could not be achieved without war and bloodshed. It was decided nevertheless next to attack Poland at the first suitable opportunity. It was admitted that the questions concerning Danzig which they had agitated with Poland were not true questions but rather that the question was one of aggressive expansion for food and "Lebensraum." It was recognized that Poland would fight if attacked and that a repetition of the Nazi success against Czechoslovakia without war could not be expected. Accordingly, it was determined that the problem was to isolate Poland and, if possible, prevent a simultaneous conflict with the Western Powers. Nevertheless, it was agreed that England was an enemy to their aspirations and that war with England and her ally France must eventually result and therefore that in that war every attempt must be made to overwhelm England with a "Blitzkrieg." It was thereupon determined immediately to prepare detailed plans for an attack on Poland at the first suitable opportunity and thereafter for an attack on England and France, together with plans for the simultaneous occupation by armed force of air bases in the Netherlands and Belgium.

The Danzig Issue

- (b) Accordingly, after having denounced the German-Polish pact of 1934 on false grounds, the Nazi conspirators proceeded to stir up the Danzig issue, to prepare frontier "incidents" to "justify" the attack, and to make demands for the cession of Polish territory. Upon refusal by Poland to yield, they caused German armed forces to invade Poland on 1 September 1939, thus precipitating war also with the United Kingdom and France.
- (5) Expansion of the war into a general war of aggression: Planning and execution of attacks on Denmark, Norway, Belgium, the Netherlands, Luxembourg, Yugoslavia and Greece, 1939 to April 1941.

Thus the aggressive war prepared for by the Nazi conspirators through their attacks on Austria and Czechoslovakia was actively launched by their attack on Poland in violation of the terms of the Briand-Kellogg pact, 1928. After the total defeat of Poland, in order to facilitate the carrying out of their military operations against France and the United Kingdom, the Nazi conspirators made active preparations for an extension of the war in Europe.

In accordance with those plans, they caused the German armed forces to invade Denmark and Norway on 9 April 1940; Belgium, the Netherlands and Luxembourg on 10 May 1940; Yugoslavia and Greece on 6 April 1941. All these invasions had been specifically planned in advance.

(6) The German invasion on June 22, 1941, of the U.S.S.R. territory in violation of the Non-Aggression Pact of August 23, 1939.

On June 22, 1941, the Nazi conspirators deceitfully denounced the non-aggression pact between Germany and the U.S.S.R. without any declaration of war, and invaded the Soviet territory, thereby beginning a war of aggression against the U.S.S.R.

From the very first day of launching their attack into the Soviet territory the Nazi conspirators began to carry out the destruction of cities, towns and villages, the demolition of factories and plants, collective farms, electric stations and railroads, the robbery and barbaric devastation of the national cultural institutions of the peoples of the U.S.S.R., the devastation of museums, schools, hospitals, churches, historical monuments, and the mass deportation of the Soviet's citizens for slave labor to Germany, as well as the annihilation of adults, old people, women and children, especially Russians, Belo-Russians, Ukranians, the extermination of Jews, committed throughout the invaded territory of the Soviet Union.

The above-mentioned criminal offenses were perpetrated by the German troops in accordance with the orders of the Nazi Government and the German General Staff and the High Command of the German Armed Forces.

(7) Collaboration with Italy and Japan and aggressive war against the United States. November, 1936, to December, 1941.

After the initiation of the Nazi wars of aggression the Nazi conspirators brought about a German-Italian-Japanese ten-year Military-Economic Alliance signed at Berlin on 27 September 1940. This agreement, representing a strengthening of the bonds among those three nations established by the earlier but more limited pact of 25 November 1936, stated: "The governments of Germany, Italy and Japan, considering it as a condition precedent of any lasting peace that all nations of the world would be given each its own proper place, have decided to stand by and cooperate with one another in regard of their efforts in Greater East Asia and regions of Europe respectively wherein it is their prime purpose to establish and maintain a new order of things calculated to promote the mutual prosperity and welfare of the peoples concerned."

Japan Exhorted to Help

The Nazi conspirators conceived that Japanese aggression could weaken and handicap those nations with whom they were at war and those with whom they contemplated war. Accordingly, the Nazi conspirators exhorted Japan to seek "a new order of things." Taking advantage of the wars of aggression then being waged by the Nazi conspirators, Japan commenced an attack on 7 December 1941 against the United States of America at Pearl Harbor and the Philippines and against the British Commonwealth of Nations, French Indo-China and the Netherlands in the Southwest Pacific. Germany declared war against the United States on 11 December 1941.

Wale with beautiful and another

- (G) War crimes and crimes against humanity committed in the course of executing the conspiracy for which the conspirators are responsible:
- (1) Beginning with the initiation of the aggressive war on 1 September 1939 and throughout its extension into wars involving almost the entire world, the Nazi conspirators carried out their common plan or conspiracy to wage war in ruthless and complete disregard and violation of the laws and customs of war. In the course of executing the common plan or conspiracy there were committed the war crimes detailed hereinafter in Count Three of this indictment.
- (2) Beginning with the initiation of their plan to seize and retain total control of the German State and thereafter throughout their utilization of that control for foreign aggression, the Nazi conspirators carried out their common plan or conspiracy in ruthless and complete disregard and violation of the laws of humanity. In the course of executing the common plan or conspiracy there were committed the crimes against humanity detailed hereinafter in Count Four of this indictment.
 - (3) By reason of all the foregoing the defendants, with divers other persons, are guilty of a common plan or conspiracy for the accomplishment of crimes against peace, of a conspiracy to commit crimes against humanity in the course of preparation for war and in the course of prosecution of war and of a conspiracy to commit war crimes not only against the armed forces of their enemies but also against nonbelligerent civilian populations.
 - (H) Individual, group and organization responsibility for the offense stated in Count One:

Reference is hereby made to appendix A of this indictment for a statement of the responsibility of the individual defendants for the offense set forth in this Count One of the indictment. Reference is hereby made to Appendix B of this indictment for the statement of the responsibility of the groups and organizations named herein as criminal groups and organizations for the offense set forth in this Count One of the indictment.

and in camifor ben satisfaces a count two: decrease he been been no as

CRIMES AGAINST PEACE

Charter, Article 6 (A)

included V.a <u>Statement of the Offense</u>. We is differentiated assert approximate transport of the property of

CONTRACTOR AND

All the defendants with divers other persons during a period of years preceding 8 May 1945; participating in the planning, preparation, initiation and waging of wars of aggression, which were also wars in violence of international treaties, agreements and assurances.

All well too if on the work bodies at a make t

VI. Particulars of the wars planned, prepared, initiated and waged.

- (A) The wars referred to in the statement of offense in this Count Two of the indictment and the dates of their initiation were the following: Against Poland, 1 September 1939; against the United Kingdom and France, 3 September 1939; against Denmark and Norway, 9 April 1940; against Belgium, The Netherlands and Luxembourg, 10 May 1940; against Yugoslavia and Greece, 6 April 1941; against the U.S.S.R., 22 June 1941; and against the United States of America, 11 December 1941.
- (B) Reference is hereby made to Count One of the indictment for the allegations charging that these wars were wars of aggression on the part of the defendants.
- (C) Reference is hereby made to Appendix C annexed to this indictment for a statement of particulars of the charges of violations of international treaties, agreements and assurances caused by the defendants in the course of planning, preparing and initiating these wars.
- VII. Individual, group and organization responsibility for the offense stated in Count Two. Reference is hereby made to Appendix A of this indictment for a statement of the responsibility of the individual defendants for the offense set forth in this Count Two of the indictment. Reference is hereby made to Appendix B of this indictment for a statement of the responsi-bility of the groups and organizations named herein as criminal groups and organizations for the offense set forth in this Count Two of the indictment. Contract Contract

WAR CRIMES

Charter, Article 6, Especially (B)

VIII. Statement of the Offense.

FREE READ SERVICE STREET

All the defendants committed war crimes between 1 September 1939 and 8 May 1945 in Germany and in all those countries and territories occupied by the German armed forces since 1 September 1939 and in Austria, Czechoslovakia and Italy and on the high seas.

All the defendants, acting in concert with others, formulated and executed a common plan or conspiracy to commit war crimes as defined in Article 6 (B) of the Charter. This plan involved among other things, the practice of "total war," including methods of combat and of military occupation in direct conflict with the laws and customs of war and the commission of crimes perpetrated on the field of battle during encounters with enemy armies and against prisoners of war and in occupied territories against the civilian population of such territories.

The said war crimes were committed by the defendants and by other persons for whose acts the defendants are responsible (under Article 6 of the Charter) as such other persons when committing the said war crimes performed their acts in execution of a common plan and conspiracy to commit the said war crimes, in the formulation and execution of which plan and conspiracy all the defendants participated as leaders, organizers, instigators and accomplices.

Types of Laws Broken

These methods and crimes constituted violations of international conventions, of international penal laws and of the general principles of criminal law as derived from the criminal law of all civilized nations and were involved in and part of a systematic course of conduct.

(A) Murder and ill-treatment of civilian populations of or in occupied territory and on the high seas throughout the period of their occupation of territories overrun by their armed forces.

The defendants, for the purpose of systematically terrorizing the inhabitants, murdered and tortured civilians, and ill treated them and imprisoned them without legal process.

The murders and ill treatment were carried out by divers means, including shooting, hanging, gassing, starvation, gross overcrowding, systematic under-nutrition, systematic imposition of labor tasks beyond the strength of those ordered to carry them out, inadequate provision of surgical and medical services, kickings, beatings, brutality and torture of all kinds, including the use of hot irons and pulling out of finger nails and the performance of experiments by means of operations and otherwise on living human subjects.

In some occupied territories the defendants interfered with religious services, persecuted members of the clergy and monastic orders and expropriated church property. They conducted deliberate and systematic genocide, viz., the extermination of racial and national groups, against the civilian populations of certain occupied territories in order to destroy particular races in classes of people and national, racial or religious groups, particularly Jews, Poles and Gypsies and others. Civilians were systematically subjected to tortures of all kinds, with the objective of obtaining information. Civilians of occupied countries were subjected systematically to "protective arrests" whereby they were arrested and imprisoned without any trial and any of the ordinary protections of the law, and they were imprisoned under the most unhealthy and inhumane conditions.

In the concentration camps were many prisoners who were classified "nacht und nebel." These were entirely cut off from the world and were allowed neither to receive nor to send letters. They disappeared without trace and no announcement of their fate was ever made by the German authorities. Such murders and ill treatment were contrary to international conventions,

in particular to Article 46 of The Hague Regulations, 1907, the Laws and Customs of War, the general principles of criminal law as derived from the criminal laws of all civilized nations, the internal penal laws of the countries in which such crimes were committed, and to Article 6 (B) of the Char-

The following particulars and all the particulars appearing later in this count are set out herein by way of example only, are not exclusive of other particular cases and are stated without prejudice to the right of the prosecution to adduce evidence of other cases of murder and ill treatment of civilians.

(1) In France, Belgium, Denmark, Holland, Norway, Luxemburg, Italy and the Channel Islands (hereinafter called the "Western countries") in that part of Germany which lies west of a line drawn due north and south through the center of Berlin (hereinafter called "Western Germany").

Such murder and ill treatment took place in concentration camps and similar establishments set up by the defendants, and particularly in the concentration camps set up at Belsen, Buchenwald, Dachau, Breendonck, Grini, Natzweiler, Ravensbrueck, Vught and Amersfoort, and in numerous cities, towns and villages, including Oradour-sur-Glane, Trondheim and Oslo.

Crimes committed in France or against French citizens took the following forms:

Arbitrary arrests were carried out under political or racial pretexts; they were both individual and collective; notably in Paris (round-up of the Eighteenth Arrondissement by the field gendarmerie, round-up of the Jewish population of the Eleventh Arrondissement in August, 1941, round-up of Jewish intellectuals in December, 1941, round-up in July, 1942); at Clermont -Ferrand (round-up of professors and students at the University of Strassbourg, who were taken to Clermont-Ferrand on 25 November 1943); at Lyon; at Marseille (round-up of 40,000 persons in January, 1943); at Grenoble (round-up on 14 December 1943); at Cluny (round-up on 24 December 1944); at Figeac (round-up in May, 1944); at St. Pol de Leon (round-up in July, 1944); at Locmin (round-up on 3 July 1944); at Eyzieux (round-up in May, 1944), and at Moussey (round-up in September, 1944). Atrocities Itemized

to a special transfer that it has been

These arrests were followed by brutal treatment and tortures carried out by the most diverse methods, such as immersion in icy water, asphyxiation, torture of the limbs and the use of instruments of torture, such as the iron helmet and electric current, and practiced in all the prisons of France, notably in Paris, Lyon, Marseille, Rennes, Metz, Clermont-Ferrand, Toulouse, Nice, Grenoble, Annecy, Arras, Bethune, Lille, Loos, Valenciennes, Nancy, Troyes and Caen, and in the torture chambers fitted up at the Gestapo centers.

In the concentration camps the health regime and the labor regime were such that the rate of mortality (alleged to be from natural causes) attained enormous proportions, for instance:

- (a) Out of a convoy of 230 French women deported from Compiegne to Auschwitz (Oswiecim) in January, 1943, 180 died of exhaustion by the end of four months.
- (b) One hundred forty-three Frenchmen died of exhaustion between 23 March and 6 May 1943 in Block 8 at Dachau.
- (c) One thousand seven hundred ninety-seven Frenchmen died of exhaustion between 21 November 1943 and 15 March 1945 in the block at Dora.
- (d) Four hundred sixty-five French died of general debility in November, 1944, at Dora.
- (e) Twenty-two thousand seven hundred sixty-one deportees died of exhaustion at Buchenwald between 1 January 1943 and 15 April 1945.
- (f) Eleven thousand five hundred sixty detainees died of exhaustion at Dachau Camp (most of them in Block 30, reserved for the sick and the infirm) between 1 January and 15 April 1945.
 - (g) Seven hundred eight priests died of exhaustion at Mauthausen.
- (h) Out of 2,200 Frenchmen registered at Flossenberg Camp, 1,600 died from supposedly natural causes.

Methods used for the works of extermination in concentration camps were:

Bad treatment, psuedo-scientific experiments (sterilization of women at Auschwitz and at Ravensbrueck, study of the evolution of cancer of the womb at Auschwitz, of typhus at Buchenwald, anatomical research at Natzweiler, heart injections at Buchenwald, bone grafting and muscular excisions at Ravensbrueck, etc.), gas chambers, gas wagons and crematory ovens. Of 228,000 French political and racial deportees in concentration camps, only 28,000 survived.

Extermination Systematic

In France also systematic extermination was practiced, notably at Asq on 1 April 1944, at Colpe on 22 July 1944, at Buzet sur Tarn on 6 July 1944 and on 17 August 1944, at Pluvigner on 8 July 1944, at Rennes on 8 June 1944, at Grenoble on 8 July 1944, at St. Flour on 10 June 1944, at Ruisnes on 10 July 1944, at Nimes, at Tulle and at Nice, where, in July, 1944, the victims of torture were exposed to the population and at Oradour-sur-Glane, where the entire village population was shot or burned alive in the church.

The many charnel pits give proof of anonymous massacres. Most notable of these are the charnel pits of Paris (Cascade du Bois de Boulogne) Lyon, St. Genies, Laval, Besancon, Petit St. Bernard, Aulnat, Caen, Port Louis, Charleval, Fontainebleau, Bouconne, Gabaudet, L'Hermitage, Lorges, Morlass, Bordelongue, Signe.

In the course of a premeditated campaign of terrorism, initiated in Denmark by the Germans in the latter part of 1943, 600 Danish subjects were murdered, and in addition, through the German occupation of Denmark, large numbers of Danish subjects were subjected to torture and ill treatment of all sorts; in addition, approximately 500 Danish subjects were murdered, by torture and otherwise, in German prisons and concentration camps.

In Belgium between 1940 and 1944 tortures by various means, but identical in each place, were carried out at Brussels, Liege, Mons, Ghent, Namur, Antwerp, Tournai, Arlon, Charleroi and Dinant.

At Vught, in Holland, when the camp was evacuated about 400 persons were murdered by shooting.

In Luxembourg, during the German occupation, 500 persons were murdered and, in addition, another 521 were illegally executed, by order of such special tribunals as the so-called "Sondergericht." Many more persons in Luxembourg were subjected to torture and mistreatment by the Gestapo, not less than 4,000 Luxembourg nationals were imprisoned during the period of German occupation and of these at least 400 were murdered.

Between March, 1944, and April, 1945, in Italy, at least 7,500 men, women and children, ranging in years from infancy to extreme old age, were murdered by the German soldiery at Civitella, in the Ardeatine Cafes in Rome and at other places.

(2) In the U.S.S.R., i.e., in the Byelo-Russian (White Russian), Ukranian, Estonian, Latvian, Lithuanian, Karelo-Finnish and Moldavian Soviet Socialist Republics, in nineteen regions of the Russian Soviet Federated Socialist Republic and in Poland, Czechoslovakia, Yugoslavia, Greece, and the Balkans hereinafter called the "Eastern Countries," and in that part of Germany which lies east of a line drawn north and south through the center of Berlin hereinafter called "Eastern Germany."

From 1 September 1939, when the German Armed Forces invaded Poland, and from 22 June 1941, when they invaded the U.S.S.R., the German Government and the German High Command adopted a systematic policy of murder and ill treatment of the civilian populations of and in the Eastern countries as they were successively occupied by the German armed forces. These murders and ill treatments were carried on continuously until the German armed forces were driven out of the said countries.

Camps are Listed

Such murders and ill treatments included:

(a) <u>Murders</u> and ill treatments at concentration camps and similar establishments set up by the Germans in the Eastern countries and in Eastern Germany, including those set up at Maidanek and Auschwitz.

The said murders and ill treatments were carried out by divers means, including all those set out above, as follows:

About 1,500,000 persons were exterminated in Maidanek and about 4,000,000 persons were exterminated in Auschwitz, among whom were c itizens of Poland, the U.S.S.R., the United States of America, Great Britain, Czechoslovakia, France and other countries.

In the Lwow region and in the city of Lwow the Germans exterminated about 700,000 Soviet people, including seventy persons in the field of arts, science and technology, and also citizens of the U.S.A., Great Britain, Czechoslovakia, Yugoslavia and Holland, brought to this region from other concentration camps.

In the Jewish ghetto from 7 September 1941 to 6 July 1943 over 133,000 persons were tortured and shot. Mass shooting of the population occurred in the suburbs of the city and in the Livenitz Forest.

In the Ganov Camp 200,000 peaceful citizens were exterminated. The most refined methods of cruelty were employed in this extermination, such as disemboweling and freezing of human beings in tubs of water. Mass shootings took place to the accompaniment of the music of an orchestra recruited from the persons interned.

Beginning with June, 1943, the Germans carried out measures to hide the evidence of their crimes, they exhumed and burned corpses, and they crushed the bones with machines and used them for fertilizer.

At the beginning of 1944 in the Ozarichi region of the Byelo-Russian S.S.R., before liberation by the Red Army, the Germans established three concentration camps without shelters, to which they committed tens of thousands of persons from the neighboring territories. They brought many people to these camps from typhus hospitals intentionally for the purpose of infecting the other persons interned and for spreading the disease in territories from which the Germans were being driven by the Red Army. In these camps there were many murders and crimes.

Thousands Shot in Estonia

In the Estonian S.S.R. they shot ten thousands of persons and in one day alone, 19 September 1944, in Camp Klooga, the Germans shot 2,000 peaceful citizens. They burned the bodies on bonfires.

I THE THE THE THE THE

-Life (dec. 1 case for the section of In the Lithuanian S.S.R. there were mass killings of Soviet citizens, namely, in Paneriai at least 100,000, in Kaunas more than 70,000, in Alytus about 60,000, at Prenai more than 3,000, in Vikomir (Ukmerge) about 8,000, in Mariampole about 7,000, in Trakai and neighboring towns 37,640.

In the Latvian S.S.R. 577,000 persons were murdered. As a result of the whole system of internal order maintained in all camps, the interned persons were doomed to die. In a secret instruction entitled "The Internal" Regime in Concentration Camps," signed personally by Himmler in 1941, severe measures of punishment were set forth for the internees. Masses of prisoners of war were shot or died from the cold and torture.

(b) Murders and ill treatments at places in the eastern countries and in the Soviet Union, other than in the camps referred to in (a) above included, on various dates during the occupation by the German armed forces:

The destruction in the Smolensk region of over 135,000 Soviet citizens. Among these, near the village of Kholmets of the Sychev region, when the military authorities were required to remove the mines from an area, on the order of the Commander of the 101st German Infantry 889, Major-General Fisler, the German soldiers gathered the inhabitants of the village of Kholmets and forced them to remove mines from the road. All of these people lost their lives as a result of exploding mines. All for a few or something and the

In the Leningrad region there were shot and tortured over 172,000 persons, including over 20,000 persons who were killed in the city of Leningrad by the barbarous artillery barrage and the bombings.

In the Stavropol region in an anti-tank trench close to the station of Mineralnye Vody, and in other cities, tens of thousands of persons were exterminated.

In Pyatigorsk many were subjected to torture and criminal treatment, including suspension from the ceiling and other methods. Many of the victims of these tortures were then shot. . Will dilla seek

In Krasnodar some 6,700 civilians were murdered by poison gas in gas vans or were shot and tortured.

In the Stalingrad region more than 50,000 persons were killed and tortured. After the Germans were expelled from Stalingrad more than 1,000 mutilated bodies of local inhabitants were found with marks of torture. One hundred and thirty-nine women had their arms painfully bent backward and held by wires. From some their breasts had been cut off and their ears, fingers and toes had been amputated. The bodies bore the marks of burns. On the bodies of the men the five-pointed star was burned with an iron or cut with a knife. Some were disemboweled.

In Orel over 5,000 persons were murdered.

In Novgorod and in the Novgorod region many thousands of Soviet citizens were killed by shooting, starvation and torture. In Minsk tens of thousands of citizens were similarly killed.

In the Crimea peaceful citizens were gathered on barges, taken out to sea and drowned, over 144,000 persons being exterminated in this manner.

In the Soviet Ukraine there were monstrous criminal acts of the Nazi conspirators. In Babi Yar, near Kiev, they shot over 100,000 men, women, children and old people. In this city in January, 1941, after the explosion in German headquarters on Dzerzhinsky Street, the Germans arrested as hostages 1,250 persons—old men, minors, women with nursing infants. In Kiev they killed over 195,000 persons.

1,000,000 Tortured in Rovno

In Rovno and the Rovno region they killed and tortured over 1,000,000 peaceful citizens.

In Dniepropetrovsk, near the transport institute, they shot or threw alive into a great ravine 11,000 women, old men and children.

In the Kamenetz-Podolsk region 31,000 Jews were shot and exterminated, including 13,000 persons brought there from Hungary.

In the Odessa region at least 200,000 Soviet citizens were killed.

In Kharkov about 195,000 persons were either tortured to death, shot or gassed in gas vans.

In Gomei the Germans rounded up the population in prison and tortured and tormented them and then took them to the center of the city and shot them in public.

In the city of Lida in the Grodno region on 8 May 1942, 5,670 persons were completely undressed, driven into pens in groups of 100 and then shot by machine guns. Many were thrown in the graves while they were still alive.

Along with adults, the Nazi conspirators mercilessly destroyed even children. They killed them with their parents in groups and alone. They killed them in children's homes and hospitals, burying the living in the graves, throwing them into flames, stabbing them with bayonets, poisoning them, conducting experiments upon them, extracting their bood for the use of the German Army, throwing them into prison and Gestapo torture chambers and concentration camps where the children died from hunger, torture and epidemic diseases.

From 6 September to 24 November 1942, in the region of Brest-Litevsk, Pinsk, Kobrin, Dyvin, Malorita and Bereza-Kartuzskaya about 400 children were shot by German punitive units.

In the Ganov camp in the city of Lwow the Germans killed 8,000 children in two months.

In the resort of Tiberda the Germans annihilated 500 children suffering from tuberculosis of the bone who were in the sanatorium for the cure.

On the territory of the Latvian S.S.R. the German usurpers killed thousands of children, which they had brought there with their parents from the Byelo-Russian S.S.R. and from the Kelinin, Kaluga and other regions of the R.S.F.S.R.

In Czechoslovakia as a result of torture, beating, hanging and shooting there were annihilated in Gestapo prisons in Brno (Bruenn), Seim and other places over 20,000 persons. Moreover, many thousands of internees were subjected to criminal treatment, beatings and torture.

Both before the war, as well as during the war, thousands of Czech patriots, in particular Catholics and Protestants, lawyers, doctors, teachers, etc., were arrested as hostages and imprisoned. A large number of these hostages were killed by the Germans.

In Greece in October, 1941, the male populations between 16 and 60 years of age in the Greek villages of Amelofito, Kliston, Kizonia, Mesovunos, Selli, Ano-Kersilion and Kato-Kersillion were shot--in all 416 persons.

In Yugoslavia many thousands of civilians were murdered. Other examples are given under Paragraph (d), "Killing of Hostages," below.

(B) Deportation for slave labor and for other purposes of the civilian population of and in occupied territories:

During the whole period of the occupation by Germany of both the Western and Eastern countries it was the policy of the German Government and of the German High Command to deport able-bodied citizens from such occupied countries to Germany and to other occupied tountries for the purpose of slave labor upon defense work, in factories and in other tasks connected with the German war effort.

Roster of Laws Violated

In pursuance of such policy there were mass deportations from all the Western and Eastern countries for such purposes during the whole period of the occupation. Such deportations were contrary to international conventions, in particular, to Article 46 of the Hague Regulations, 1907, the Laws and Customs of War, the general principles of criminal law as derived from the

criminal laws of all civilized nations and the internal penal laws of the countries in which such crimes were committed and to Article 6 (B) of the Charter.

Particulars of deportations, by way of example only and without prejudice to the production of evidence of other cases, are as follows:

(i) From the Western countries: From France the following deportations of persons for political and racial reasons took place, each of which consisted of from 1,500-2,500 deportees:

1940	0	•						3	transports
1941	•	0						14	transports
1942			10					104	transports
1943		0						257	transports
1944									transports

Such deportees were subjected to the most barbarous conditions of over-crowding; they were provided with wholly insufficient clothing and were given little or no food for several days. The conditions of transport were such that many deportees died in the course of the voyage, for example, in one of the wagons of the train which left Compiegne for Buchenwald, on 17 September 1943, eighty men died out of 130; on 4 June 1944, 484 bodies were taken out of the train at Sarrebourg; in a train which left Compiegne on 2 July 1944 for Dachau more than 600 dead were found on arrival; i.e., one-third of the total number; in a train which left Compiegne on 16 January 1944 for Buchenwald more than 100 men were confined in each wagon, the dead and the wounded being heaped on the last wagon during the voyage.

In April, 1945, of 12,000 internees evacuated from Buchenwald, 4,000 only were still alive when the marching column arrived near Regensburg. During the German occupation of Denmark, 5,200 Danish subjects were deported to Germany and there imprisoned in concentration camps and other places.

In 1942 and thereafter 6,000 nations of Luxembourg were deported from their country under deplorable conditions as a result of which many of them perished.

From Belgium between 1940 and 1944 at least 190,000 civilians were deported to Germany and used as slave labor. Such deportees were subjected to ill treatment and many of them were compelled to work in armament factories.

From Holland between 1940 and 1944 nearly half a million civilians were deported to Germany and to other occupied countries.

(ii) From the Eastern countries: The German occupying authorities deported from the Soviet Union to slavery about 4,978,000 Soviet citizens. Seven hundred and fifty thousand Czechoslovak citizens were taken away for forced labor outside the Czechoslovak frontiers in the interior of the German war machine.

Yugoslavs Deported

On June 4, 1941, in the city of Zagreb, Yugoslavia, a meeting of German representatives was called with Councilor von Troll presiding. The purpose was to set up the means of deporting the Yugoslav population from Slovenia. Tens of thousands of persons were deported in carrying out this plan.

(C) Murder and ill treatment of prisoners of war and of other members of the armed forces of the countries with whom Germany was at war and of persons on the high seas.

The defendants murdered and ill-treated prisoners of war by denying them adequate food, shelter, clothing and medical care and attention; by forcing them to labor in inhuman conditions; by torturing them and subjecting them to inhuman indignities and by killing them.

The German Government and the German High Command imprisoned prisoners of war in various concentration camps, where they were killed and subjected to inhuman treatment by the various methods set forth in Paragraph VIII (A). Members of the armed forces of the countries with whom Germany was at war were frequently murdered while in the act of surrendering. The murders and ill treatment were contrary to international conventions, particularly Articles 4, 5, 6 and 7 of The Hague Regulations, 1907, and to Articles 2, 3, 4 and 6 of the Prisoners of War Convention (Geneva, 1929), the Laws and Customs of War, the general principles of criminal law as derived from the criminal law of all civilized nations, the internal penal laws of the countries in which such crimes were committed and to Article 6 (B) of the Charter.

Mistreatment of French

Particulars by way of example and without prejudice to the production of evidence of other cases, are as follows:

(i) In the Western countries: French officers who escaped from an "Oflag" were handed over to the Gestapo and disappeared; others were murdered by their guards; others sent to concentration camps and exterminated. Among others, the men of Stalag VIC were sent to Buchenwald. Frequently prisoners captured on the western front were obliged to march to the camps until they completely collapsed. Some of them walked more than 600 kilometers with hardly any food; they marched on for forty-eight hours running without being fed. Among them a certain number died of exhaustion or of hunger; stragglers were systematically murdered. The same crimes have been committed in 1943, 1944 and 1945 when the occupants of the camps were withdrawn before the Allied advance, particularly during the withdrawal of the prisoners of Sagan on February 8, 1945. Bodily punishments were inflicted upon non-commissioned officers and cadets who refused to work. On December 24, 1943, three French NCO's were murdered for that motive in Stalag IVA. Many ill treatments were inflicted without motive on other ranks; stabbing with bayonets, striking with rifle butts and whipping. In a "Stalag" the sick themselves were beaten many times by sentries; in Stalag IIIB and Stalag IIIC

wornout prisoners were murdered or grievously wounded. In military gaols in Graudenz (Grudziadz), for instance, in reprisal camps as in Rava-Ruska, the food was so insufficient that the men lost more than fifteen kilograms in a few weeks. In May, 1942, one loaf of bread only was distributed in Rava-Ruska to each group of thirty-five men.

Orders were given to transfer French officers in chains to the camp of Mauthausen after they had tried to escape. At their arrival in camp they were murdered, either by shooting or by gas, and their bodies destroyed in the crematorium.

American prisoners, officers and men were murdered in Normandy during the summer of 1944 and in Ardennes in December, 1944. American prisoners were starved, beaten and otherwise mistreated in numerous "Stalags" in Germany and in the occupied countries, particularly in 1943, 1944 and 1945.

(ii) In the Eastern countries: At Orel prisoners of war were exterminated by starvation, shooting, exposure and poisoning. Soviet prisoners of war were murdered en masse on order from the High Command and the Head-quarters of the Sipo and SD. Tens of thousands of Soviet prisoners of war were tortured and murdered at the "Gross Lazaret" at Slavuta.

War Prisoners Slain

In addition many thousands of the persons referred to in paragraph VIII (A) 2, above, were Soviet prisoners of war. Prisoners of war who escaped and were recaptured were handed over to Sipo and SD for shooting. Frenchmen fighting with the Soviet Army who were captured were handed over to the Vichy Government for "proceedings." In March, 1944, fifty RAF officers who escaped from Stalag Luft III at Sagan, when recaptured, were murdered. In September, 1941, 11,000 Polish officers who were prisoners of war were killed in the Katyn Forest near Smolensk. In Yugoslavia the German Command and the occupying authorities in the person of the chief officials of the police, the SS Troops (Police Lieut. Gen. Regener) and the liaison with the Troops (General Kubler and others), in the period 1941-43 ordered the shooting of prisoners of war.

(D) Killing of hostages throughout the territories occupied by the German armed forces in the course of waging aggressive wars.

The defendants adopted and put into effect on a wide scale the practice of taking, and of killing, hostages from the civilian population. These acts were contrary to international conventions, particularly Article 50 of the Hague Regulations, 1907, the Law and Customs of War, the general principles of criminal law as derived from the criminal laws of all civilized nations, the internal penal laws of the countries in which such crimes were committed and to Article 6 (B) of the Charter.

Particulars by way of example and without prejudice to the production of evidence of other cases, are as follows:

(i) In the Western countries: In France hostages were executed either individually or collectively; these executions took place in all the big cities of France, among others in Paris, Bordeaux and Nantes, as well as at Chateau-briand.

In Holland many hundreds of hostages were shot at the following among other places: Rotterdam, Apeldoorn, Amsterdam, Benschop and Haarlem.

In Belgium many hundreds of hostages were shot during the period 1940 to 1944.

- (ii) In the Eastern countries: At Kragnevatz in Yugoslavia 2,300 hostages were shot in October, 1941. At Kralevo in Yugoslavia 5,000 hostages were shot.
 - (E) Plunder of public and private property.

The defendants ruthlessly exploited the people and the material resources of the countries they occupied, in order to strengthen the Nazi war machine, to depopulate and impoverish the rest of Europe, to enrich themselves and their adherents and to promote German economic supremacy over Europe.

Starvation and Looting

The defendants engaged in the following acts and practices, among others:

- (1) They degraded the standard of life of the people of occupied countries and caused starvation by stripping occupied countries of foodstuffs for removal to Germany.
- (2) They seized raw material and industrial machinery in all of the occupied countries, removed them to Germany and used them in the interest of the German war effort and the German economy.
- (3) In all the occupied countries, in varying degrees, they confiscated businesses, plants and other property.
- (4) In an attempt to give color of legality to illegal acquisitions of property, they forced owners of property to go through the forms of "voluntary" and legal transfers.
- (5) They established comprehensive controls over the economies of all of the occupied countries and directed their resources, their production and their labor in the interests of the German war economy depriving the local populations of the products of essential industries.

- (6) By a variety of financial mechanisms, they despoiled all of the occupied countries of essential commodities and accumulated wealth, debased the local currency systems and disrupted the local economies. They financed extensive purchases in occupied loans from the occupied countries. They imposed occupation levies, exacted financial contributions and issued occupation currency, far in excess of occupation costs. They used these excess funds to finance the purchase of business properties and supplies in the occupied countries.
- . (7) They abrogated the rights of the local populations in the occupied portions of the U.S.S.R. and in Poland and in other countries to develop or manage agricultural and industrial properties and reserved this area for exclusive settlement, development and ownership by Germans and their so-called racial brethren. NE.S alvetance
- (8) In further development of their plan of criminal exploitation, they destroyed industrial cities, cultural monuments, scientific institutions and property of all types in the occupied territories to eliminate the possibility of competition with Germany. replanting to their (E)
- (9) From their program of terror, slavery, spoilation and organized outrage; the Nazi conspirators created an instrument for the personal profit and aggrandizement of themselves and their adherents.

 They secured for themselves and their adherents:

- (a) Positions in Administration of business involving power, influence and lucrative penquisites.
 - was a lawcoo 1(b) The use of cheap forced labor.

03, 1019/27

- ordered contributed by the production becomes (c) The acquisition on advantageous terms of foreign properties, business interests and raw materials. Manufic But Commen
- (d) The basis for the industrial supremacy of Germany. wittener , and the dates appropria

Charter Breach Cited

verging digrees, they continued These acts were contrary to international conventions, particularly Articles 46 to 56, inclusive, of the Hague Regulations, 1907, the Laws and Customs of War, the general principles of criminal law as derived from the criminal laws of all civilized nations, the internal penal laws of the countries in which such crimes were committed and to Article 6 (B) of the Charter.

. Statement land the Ment Particulars, by way of example and without prejudice to the production of evidence of other cases, are as follows:

(i) Western countries: There was plundered from the Western countries from 1940 to 1944, works of art, artistic objects, pictures, plastics,

furniture, textiles, entique pieces and similar articles of enormous value to the number of 21,903.

In France statistics show the following:

Removal of Raw Materials

Coal	63,000,000	tons
Floatnia energy	20,970,000	V MITT
Potnol and finel	1,742,170	COLLD
Tmon 000	14,040,000	COHO
Surgical products	3.822,000	tons
Bauxite	1,211,800	tons
Cement	.5.984.000	tons
Lime	1.888.000	tons
Quarry products	25.872.000	tons
And various other products to a total value of	61,423,000	france

Removal of Industrial Equipment--Total: 9,759,861,000 francs, of which 2,626,479,000 francs of machine tools.

Removal of Agricultural Produce--Total: 126,655,852,000 francs; i.e., for the principal products:

Wheat, 2,947,337 tons; oats, 2,354,080 tons; milk, 790,000 hectoliters; milk, concentrated and in powder, 460,000 hectoliters; butter, 76,000 tons; cheese, 49,000 tons; potatoes, 725,975 tons; various vegetables, 575,000 tons; wine, 7,647,000 hectoliters; champagne, 87,000,000 bottles; various kinds of alcohol, 1,830,000 bottles, and beer, 3,821,520 hectoliters.

Removal of Manufactured Products -- To a total of 184,640,000,000 francs.

Plundering--Francs 257,020,024,000 from private enterprise; francs, 55,000,100,000 from the State.

Financial Exploitation--From June, 1940, to September, 1944, the French Treasury was compelled to give Germany 631,866,000,000 francs.

Looting and Destruction of Works of Art--The Museums of Nantes, Nancy, Old-Marseille were looted. Private collections of great value were stolen. In this way Raphaels, Vermeers, van Dycks and works of Rubens, Holbein, Rembrandt, Watteau, Boucher disappeared. Germany compelled France to deliver up "The Mystic Lamb" by van Eyck, which Belgium had entrusted to her.

In Norway and other occupied countries decrees were made by which the property of many civilians, societies, etc., was confiscated. An immense amount of property of every kind was plundered from France, Belgium, Norway, Holland and Luxembourg. As a result of the economic plundering of Belgium between 1940 and 1944 the damage suffered amounted to 175 billions of Belgian francs.

(ii) Eastern countries: During the occupation of the Eastern countries the German Government and the German High Command carried out, as a systematic policy, a continuous course of plunder and destruction including: On the territory of the Soviet Union the Nazi conspirators destroyed or severely damaged 1,710 cities and more than 70,000 villages and hamlets, more than 6,000,000 buildings and made homeless about 25,000,000 persons. Among the cities which suffered most destruction are Stalingrad, Sevastapol, Kiev, Minsk, Odessa, Smolensk, Novgorod, Pskov, Orel, Kharkov, Voronezh, Rostov-on-Don, Stalino and Leningrad. As is evident from an official memorandum of the German Commend, the Nazi conspirators planned the complete annihilation of entire Soviet cities. In a completely secret order of the Chief of the Naval Staff (Staff IA nbr 1601/41 dated 29 IX, 1941) addressed only to Staff Officers, it was said: "The Fuehrer has decided to erase from the face of the earth St. Petersburg (Leningrad). The existence of this large city will have no further interest after Soviet Russia is destroyed. Finlend has also said that the existence of this city on her new border is not desirable from her point of view. The original request of the Navy that docks, harbors, etc., necessary for the fleet be preserved is known to the Supreme Commander of the Military Forces, but the basic principles of carrying operations against St. Petersburg do not make it possible to satisfy this request. It is proposed to approach near to the city and to destroy it with the sid of an artillery barrage from weapons of different calibers and with long air attacks * * * The problem of the life of the population and the provisioning of them is a problem which cannot and must not be decided by us in this war * * * We are not interested in preserving even a part of the population of this large city."

Museums Wrecked

The Germans destroyed 427 museums, among them the wealthy museums of Leningrad, Smolensk, Stalingrad, Novgorod, Poltavia and others. In Pyatigorsk the art objects brought there from the Rostov Museum were seized. The losses suffered by the coal-mining industry alone in the Stalino region amount to 2,000,000,000 rubles. There was colossal destruction of industrial establishments in Makeyevka, Gorlovka, Yenakievo, Konstantinovka and Mariupol, from which most of the machinery and factories were removed. Stealing of huge dimensions and the destruction of industrial, cultural and other property was typified in Kiev. More than 4,000,600 books, magazines and manuscrips (many of which were very valuable and even unique) and a large number of artistic productions and valuables of different kinds were stolen and carried away. Many valuable art productions were taken away from Riga. The extent of the plunder of cultural valuables is evidenced by the fact that 100,000 valuable volumes and seventy cases of ancient periodicals and precious monographs were carried away by Rosenberg's staff alone.

Among further examples of these crimes are:

Wanton devastation of the city of Novgorod and of many historical and artistic monuments there. Wanton devastation and plunder of the city of Rovno and of its province. The destruction of the industrial, cultural and other

property in Odessa. The destruction of cities and villages in Soviet Karelia. The destruction in Estonia of cultural, industrial and other buildings. The destruction of medical and prophylactic institutes, the destruction of agriculture and industry in Lithuania. The destruction of cities in Latvia. The Germans approached monuments of culture, dear to the Soviet people, with special hatred. They broke up the estate of the poet Pushkin in Mikhailovskoye, desecrating his grave and destroying the neighboring villages and the Svystogorsk Monastery. They destroyed the estate and museum of Leo Tolstoy, Yasnaya Polyana, and desecrated the grave of the great writer. They destroyed in Klin the museum of Tchaikovsky and in Ponaty, the museum of the painter Repin and many others.

1 1 1 mg a

Churches Destroyed

The Nazi conspirators destroyed 1,670 Greek Orthodox churches, 237 Roman Catholic churches, sixty-seven chapels, 532 synagogues, etc. They broke up, desecrated and senselessly destroyed also the most valuable monuments of the Christian church such as Kieve-Pecherskaya Lavra, Novoierusalimsk in the Istra region and the most ancient monasteries and churches. Destruction in Estonia of cultural, industrial and other premises, burning down of many thousand of residential buildings, removal of 10,000 works of art. Destruction of medical and prophylactic institutions. Plunder and removal to Germany of immense quantities of agricultural stock, including horses, cows, pigs, poultry, beehives and agricultural machines of all kinds.

Destruction of agriculture, enslavement of peasants and looting of stock and produce in Lithuania. In the Latvian Republic destruction of the agriculture by the looting of all stock, machinery and produce. The result of this policy of plunder and destruction was to lay waste the land and cause utter desolation.

The overell value of the material loss which the U.S.S.R. has borne is computed to be 679,000,000,000 rubles in State prices of 1941.

Plunder is Charged

Following the German occupation of Czechoslovakia on 15 March 1939 the defendants seized and stole large stocks of raw material, copper, tin, iron, cotton, and food; caused to be taken to Germany large amounts of railway rolling stock and many engines, carriages, steam vessels and trolley buses, plundered libraries, laboratories and art museums of books, pictures, objects of art, scientific apparatus and furniture; stole all gold reserves and foreign exchange of Czechoslovakia, including 23,000 kilograms of gold of a nominal value of 5,265,000 pounds; fraudulently took enterprises and otherwise stole, looted and misappropriated Czechoslovak public and private property. The total sum of defendants' economic spoliation of Czechoslovakia from 1938 to 1945 is estimated at 200,000,000,000 Czechoslovak crowns.

(F) The exaction of collective penalties.

The Germans pursued a systematic policy of inflicting, in all the occupied countries, collective penalties, pecuniary and otherwise, upon the population for acts of individuals for which it could not be regarded as collectively responsible. This was done at many places, including Oslo, Stavanger, Trondheim and Rogaland. Similar instances occurred in France, among others in Dijon and Nantes, and as regards the Jewish communities add up to 1,157,179,848 francs, made up as follows:

A fine on the Jewish population--1,000,000,000. Various fines--157,179,484.

These acts violated Article 50, the Hague Regulations, 1907, the Laws and Customs of War, the general principles of criminal law as derived from the criminal laws of all civilized nations, in internal penal laws of the countries in which such crimes were committed and Article 6 (B) of the Charter.

(G) Wenton destruction of cities, towns and villages and devastation not justified by military necessity.

The defendants wantonly destroyed cities, towns and villages and committed other acts of devastation without military justification or necessity. These acts violated Articles 46 and 50 of the Hague Regulations, 1907, the Laws and Customs of War, the general principles of criminal law as derived from the criminal laws of all civilized nations, the internal penal laws of the countries in which such crimes were committed and Article 6 (B) of the Charter. Particulars by way of example only, and without prejudice to the production of evidence of other cases, are as follows:

(i) Western countries: In March, 1941, the port of Lofoten in Norway was destroyed. In April, 1942, the town of Telerag in Norway was destroyed. Entire villages were destroyed in France, among others Oradour-sur-Glane, St. Nizier and, in the Vercors, Le-Mure, Vassieux, La Chapelle-en-Vercors. The town of St. Die was burnt down and destroyed. The old port district of Marseille was dynamited in the beginning of 1943 and resorts along the Atlantic and the Mediterranean coasts, particularly the town of Sanary, were demolished.

In Holland there was most widespread and extensive destruction, not justified by military necessity, including the destruction of harbors, locks, dykes and bridges; immense devastation was also caused by inundations which equally were not justified by military necessity.

(ii) Eastern countries: In the eastern countries the defendants pursued a policy of wanton destruction and devestation:

Particulars of this, without prejudice to the production of evidence of other cases, are set about above under the heading, "Plunder of Public and Private Property." In Greece in 1941 the villages of Amelofito, Kliston, Kizonia, Messovunos, Selli, Ano-Kerzilion and Kato-Kerzilion were utterly destroyed.

Yugoslav Village Burned

In Yugoslavia on August 15, 1941, the German military command officially announced that the village of Skela was burned to the ground and inhabitants killed on the order of the Command. On the order of the Field Commander, Horsterbeerg, a punitive expedition from the SS troops and the field police destroyed the villages of Makovats and Kriva Reka in Serbia and the inhabitants were killed. General Fritz Neidhold (369th Infantry Division) on September 11, 1944, gave an order to destroy the villages of Xagmiexe and Udoro, hanging all the men and driving away all the women and children.

In Czechoslovakia the Nazi conspirators also practiced the senseless destruction of populated places. Lezaky and Lidice were burned to the ground and the inhabitants killed.

(H) Conscription of civilian labor.

Throughout the occupied territories the defendants conscripted and forced the inhabitants to labor and requisitioned their services for purpose other than meeting the needs of the armies of occupation and to an extent far out of proportion to the resources of the countries involved. All the civilians so conscripted were forced to work for the German war effort.

Civilians were required to register in the Speer Legion, a semi-military organization involving some military training. These acts violated Articles 46 and 52 of the Hague Regulations, 1907, the Laws and Customs of War, the general principles of criminal law as derived from the criminal laws of all civilized nations, the internal penal laws of the countries in which such crimes were committed and Article 6 (B) of the Charter.

Particulars, by way of example only and without prejudice to the production of evidence of other cases, are as follows:

(i) Western countries: In France, from 1942 to 1944, 963,813 persons were compelled to work in Germany and 737,000 to work in France for the German army.

In Luxembourg in 1944 alone 2,500 men and 500 girls were conscripted for forced labor.

- (ii) Eastern countries: Of the large number of citizens of the Soviet Union and of Czechoslovakia referred to under VIII (b) above, many were so conscripted for forced labor.
- (I) Forcing civilians of occupied territories to swear allegiance to a hostile power.

Civilians who joined the Speer Legion, as set forth in Paragraph (H) above, were required, under threat of depriving them of food, money and

identity papers, to swear a solemn oath acknowledging unconditional obedience to Adolf Hitler, the Fuehrer of Germany, which was to them a hostile power. In Lorraine civil servants were obliged, in order to retain their positions, to sign a declaration by which they acknowledged the "return of their country to the Reich," pledged themselves to obey without reservation the orders of their chiefs and put themselves "at the active service of the Fuehrer and the great National Socialist Germany." A similar pledge was imposed on Alsetion civil servants by threat of deportation or internment.

These acts violated Article 45 of the Hague Regulations, 1907, the Laws and Customs of War, the general principles of international law and Article 6 (B) of the Charter.

(J) Germanization of occupied territories.

In certain occupied territories purportedly annexed to Germany the defendants methodically and pursuant to plan endeavored to assimilate those territories politically, culturally, socially and economically into the German Reich, and the defendants endeavored to obliterate the former national character of these territories. In pursuance of these plans and endeavors, the defendants forcibly deported inhabitants who were predominantly non-German and introduced thousands of German colonists. This plan included economic domination, physical conquest, installation of puppet governments, purported de jure annexation and enforced conscription into the German armed forces. This was carried out in most of the occupied countries, including Norway, France (particularly in the Departments of Upper Rhine, Lower Rhine, Moselle), Ardennes, Aisne, Nord, Meurthe and Moselle, Luxembourg, the Soviet Union, Denmark, Belgium, Holland.

French Rural Property Seized

In France, in the Departments of the Aisne, Nord, Meurthe and Moselle, and especially in that of the Ardennes, rural properties were seized by a German State Organization which tried to have them exploited under German direction. The land owners of these exploitations were dispossessed and turned into agricultural laborers.

In the Departments of the Upper Phine, the Lower Rhine and the Moselle the methods of Germanization were those of annexation, followed by conscription.

(1) From the month of August, 1940, officials who refused to take the oath of allegiance to the Reich were expelled. On September 21 expulsions and deportation of populations began and on November 22, 1940, more than 70,000 Lorrainers or Alsatians were driven into the south zone of France; from July 31, 1941, onward more than 100,000 persons were deported into the eastern regions of the Reich or to Poland. All the property of the deportees or expelled persons was confiscated. At the same time, 80,000 Germans coming from the Saar or from Westphalia were installed in Lorraine and 2,000 farms belonging to French people were transferred to Germans.

- (2) From January 2, 1942, all the young people of the departments of the Upper Rhine and the Lower Rhine aged from 10 to 18 years were incorporated in the Hitler Youth. The same thing was done in the Moselle from August 4, 1942. From 1940 all the French schools were closed, their staffs expelled, and the German school system was introduced in the three departments.
- (3) On September 28, 1940, an order applicable to the Department of the Moselle ordained the Germanization of all the surnames and Christian names which were French in form; the same thing was done from January 15, 1943, in the Departments of the Upper Rhine and the Lower Rhine.

German Nationality Imposed

- (4) Two orders from August 23 to 24, 1942, imposed by force German nationality on French citizens.
- (5) On May 8, 1941, for the Upper Rhine and Lower Rhine, and April 23, 1941, for the Moselle orders were promulgated enforcing compulsory labor service on all French citizens of either sex aged from 17 to 25 years. From January 1, 1942, for young men and from January 26, 1942, for young girls national labor service was effectively organized in the Moselle. It was from August 27, 1942, in the Upper Rhine and in the Lower Rhine for young men only. The classes 1940, 1941, 1942 were called up.
- (6) These classes were retained in the Wehrmacht on the expiration of their time and labor service. On August 19, 1942 an order instituted compulsory military service in the Moselle. On August 25, 1942 the classes 1940-1944 were called up in three departments. Conscription was enforced by the German authorities in conformity with the provisions of German legislation. The first revision boards took place from September 3, 1942. Later in the Upper Rhine and the Lower Rhine new levies were effected everywhere on classes 1928 to 1939 inclusive. The French people who refused to obey these laws were considered as deserters and their families were deported while their property was confiscated. These acts violated Articles 43, 45, 55 and 56 of the Hague Regulations, 1907, the Laws and Customs of Wer, the general principles of criminal law as derived from the criminal laws of all civilized nations, the internal penal laws of the countries in which such crimes were committed and Article 6 (B) of the Charter.
- IX. <u>Individual</u>, group and organization responsibility for the offense stated in Count Three.

Reference is hereby made to Appendix A of this indictment for a statement of the responsibility of the individual for the offense set forth in this Count Three of the indictment. Reference is hereby made to Appendix B of this indictment for a statement of the responsibility of the groups and organizations named herein as criminal groups and organizations for the offense set forth in this Count Three of the indictment.

COUNT FOUR:

CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY

Charter, Article 6, Especially (C)

X. Statement of offense.

All the defendants committed crimes against humanity during a period of years preceding 8 May 1945 in Germany and in all those countries and territories occupied by the German armed forces since 1 September 1939 and in Austria and Czechoslovakia and in Italy and on the high seas.

All the defendents, acting in concert with others, formulated and executed a common plan or conspiracy to commit crimes against humanity as defined in Article 6 (C) of the Charter. This plan involved, among other things, the murder and persecution of all who were or who were suspected of being hostile to the Nazi party and all who were or were suspected of being opposed to the common plan alleged in Count One. The said crimes against humanity were committed by the defendents end by other persons for whose ects the defendants are responsible (under Article 6 of the Charter) as such other persons, when committing the said war crimes, performed their acts in execution of a common plan and conspiracy to commit the said war crimes, in the formulation and execution of which plan and conspiracy all the defendants participated as leaders, organizers, instigators and accomplices. These methods and crimes constituted violations of international conventions, of internal penal laws, of the general principles of criminal law as derived from the criminal law of all civilized nations and were involved in a part of a systematic course of conduct. The said acts were contrary to Article 6 of the Charter. The prosecution will rely upon the facts pleaded under Count Three as also constituting crimes against humanity.

(A) Murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation and other inhumane acts committed against civilian populations before and during the war.

For the purposes set out above, the defendants adopted a policy of persecution, repression and extermination of all civilians in Germany who were, or who were believed to be or who were believed likely to become hostile to the Nazi Government and the common plan of conspiracy described in Count One. They imprisoned such persons without judicial process, holding them in "protective custody" and concentration camps, and subjected them to persecution, degradation, despoilment, enslavement, torture and murder. Special courts were established to carry out the will of the conspirators. Favored branches or agencies of the State and party were permitted to operate outside the range even of Nazified law and to crush all tendencies and elements which were considered undesirable. The various concentration camps included Buchenwald, which was established in 1933, and Dachau, which was established in 1934. At these and other camps the civilians were put to slave labor and murdered and ill-treated by diverse means, including those set out in Count Three above, and these policies were continued and extended to the occupied countries after 1 September 1939 and until 8 May 1945.

(B) Persecution on political, racial and religious grounds in execution of and in connection with the common plan mentioned in Count One.

As above stated, in execution of and in connection with the common plan mentioned in Count One, opponents of the German Government are exterminated and persecuted. These persecutions were directed against Jews. They were also directed against persons whose political belief or spiritual aspirations were deemed to be in conflict with the aims of the Nezis. Jews were systematically persecuted since 1933; they were deprived of their liberty, thrown into concentration camps, where they were murdered and ill-treated. Their property was confiscated. Hundreds of thousands of Jews were so treated before 1 September 1939. Since 1 September 1939 the persecution of the Jews was redoubled; millions of Jews from Germany and from occupied Western countries were sent to the Eastern countries for extermination. Particulars, by way of example and without prejudice to the production of évidence of other cases, are as follows:

The Nazis murdered amongst others Chancellor Dollfuss, the Social Democrat Breitscheid and the Communist Thaelmann. They imprisoned in concentration camps numerous political and religious personages, for example, Chancellor Schuschnigg and Pastor Niemoeller. In November, 1938, by orders of the Chief of the Gestapo, anti-Jewish demonstrations all over Germany took place. Jewish property was destroyed, 30,000 Jews were arrested and sent to concentration camps and their property confiscated. Under Paragraph VIII (A) above millions of the persons there mentioned as having been murdered and ill-treated were Jews. Among other mass murders of Jews were the following:

At Kislovodsk all Jews were made to give up their property; 2,000 were shot in an anti-tank ditch at Mineraliye Vody; 4,300 other Jews were shot in the same ditch; 60,000 Jews were shot on an island on the Dvina near Riga; 20,000 Jews were shot at Lutsk; 32,000 Jews were shot at Sarny; 60,000 Jews were shot at Kiev and Dniepropetrovsk; thousands of Jews were gassed weekly by means of gas-wagons which broke down from overwork.

As the Germans retreated before the Soviet Army they exterminated Jews rather than allow them to be liberated. Many concentration camps and ghettos were kept up in which Jews were incarcerated and tortured, starved, subjected to merciless atrocities and finally exterminated. About 70,000 Jews were exterminated in Yugoslavia.

XI. <u>Individuals</u>, group and organization responsibility for the offense stated in Count Four.

Reference is hereby made to Appendix A of this indictment for a statement of the responsibility of the individual defendants for the offense set forth in this Count Four of the indictment. Feference is hereby made to Appendix B of this indictment for a statement of the responsibility of the groups and organizations named herein as criminal groups and organizations for the offense set forth in Count Four of the indictment. Wherefor, this indictment is lodged with the tribunal in English, French and Russian, each text having equal authenticity, and the charges herein made against the above named defendants are hereby presented to the tribunal.

ROBERT H. JACKSON, Acting on behalf of the United States of America.

FRANCOIS DE MENTHON, Acting on behalf of the French Republic.

SIR HARTLEY SHAWCROSS, Acting on behalf of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

R. RUDENKO, Acting on behalf of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

APPENDIX A

STATEMENT OF INDIVIDUAL PESPONSIBILITY FOR CRIMES SET OUT IN COUNTS ONE. TWO. THREE AND FOUR

The statements hereinafter set forth following the name of each individual defendant constitute matters upon which the prosecution will rely interalia as establishing the individual responsibility of the defendant.

GOERING

The defendent Goering between 1932-1945 was a member of the Nazi party, Supreme Leader of the SA, General in the SS, a member and President of the Reichsteg, Minister of the Interior of Prussia, Chief of the Prussian Police and Prussian Secret State Police, Chief of the Prussian State Council, Trustee of the Four-Year Plan, Reich Minister for Air, Commander in Chief of the Air Forces, President of the Council of Ministers for the Defense of the Reich, member of the Secret Cabinet Council, head of the Hermann Goering Industrial Combine and successor designate to Hitler.

The defendant Goering used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his intimate connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that: He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators and the consolidation of their control over Germany set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He promoted military and economic preparation for war set forth in Count One of the indictment:

He participated in the planning and preparation of Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment;

And he authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment, and the crimes against humanity set forth

in Count Four of the indictment, including a wide variety of crimes against persons and property.

RIBBENTROP

The defendant Ribbentrop between 1932-1945 was a member of the Nazi party, a member of the Nazi Reichsteg, adviser to the Fuehrer on matters of foreign policy, representative of the Nazi party for matters of foreign policy, special German delegate for disarmament questions, Ambassador Extraordinary, Ambassador in London, organizer and director of Dienstellf-Ribbentrop, Reich Minister for Foreign Affairs, member for the secret Co-Bainter Council, member of the Fuehrer's political staff at General Headquarters and General in the SS.

The defendant Ribbentrop used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his intimate connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators as set forth in Count One of the indictment:

He promoted the preparations for war set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He participated in the political planning and preparation of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances as set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment;

In accordance with the Fuehrer principle he executed and assumed responsibility for the execution of the foreign policy plans of the Nazi conspirators set forth in Count One of the indictment;

And he authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including more particularly the crimes against persons and property in occupied territories.

HESS

The defendent Hess between 1921 and 1941 was a member of the Nazi party, Deputy to the Fuehrer, Reich Minister Without Portfolio, Member of the Reichstag, member of the Council of Ministers for the Defense of the Reich, member of the Secret Cabinet Council, successor designate to the Fuehrer after the defendant Goering, a General in the SS and a General in the SA.

The defendant Hess used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his intimate connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators and the consolidation of their control over Germany set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He promoted the military, economic and psychological preparations for war set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He participated in the political planning and preparation for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment; he participated in the preparation and planning of the foreign policy and plans of the Nazi conspirators set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including a wide variety of crimes against person and property.

KALTENBRUNNER

The defendant Kaltenbrunner between 1932 and 1945 was a member of the Nazi party, a general in the SS, a member of the Reichstag, a general of the police, State Secretary for Security in Austria in charge of the Austrian police, police leader of Vienna, Lower and Upper Austria, head of the Reich Main Security Office and Chief of the Security Police and Security Service.

The defendent Kaltenbrunner used the foregoing positions and his personal influence in such a manner that:

He promoted the consolidation of control over Austria seized by the Nazi conspirators as set forth in Count One of the indictment;

And he authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in the Count Three of the indictment and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including particular crimes against humanity involved in the system of concentration camps.

ROSENBERG

The defendant Rosenberg between 1920 and 1945 was a member of the Nazi party, Nazi member of the Reichsleiter in the Nazi party for ideology and foreign policy, the editor of the Nazi newspaper Voelkischer Beobachter and of the NS Monatshefte, head of the Foreign Political Office of the Nazi party, Special Delegate for the entire spiritual and ideological training of the Nazi party, Reich Minister for the Eastern occupied territories, organizer of the "Einsatstab Rosenberg," a general in the SS and a general in the SA.

The defendant Rosenberg used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his intimate connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that he developed, disseminated and exploited the doctrinal techniques of the Nazi conspirators set forth in Count One of the indictment:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators and the consolidating of their control over Germany set forth in Count One of the indictment:

He promoted the psychological and the political planning and preparation for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment;

And he authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including a wide variety of crimes against person and property.

FRANK

The defendant Frank between 1932-1945 was a member of the Nazi party, a general in the SS, a member of the Reichstag, Reich Minister Without Portfolio, Reich Commissar for the Coordination of Justice, President of the International Chamber of Law and Academy of German Law, Chief of the Civil Administration of Lodz, Supreme Administrative of the Military District of West Prussia, Poznan (Posen) and Cracow and Governor General of the occupied Polish territories.

The defendent Frank used the foregoing positions, his personal influence, and his intimate connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators and the consolidation of their control over Germany set forth in Count One of the indictment:

He authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including particularly the war crimes and crimes against humanity involved in the administration of occupied territories.

BORMANN

for the state of the large of the state of t

The defendant Bormann between 1925 and 1945 was a member of the Nazi party, member of the Reichstag, a member of the staff of the Supreme Command of the SA, founder and head of "Hilfskasse der NSDAP," Reichsleiter, Chief of Staff, Office of the Fuehrer's Deputy, head of the party Chancery, Secretary of the Fuehrer, member of the Council of Ministers for the Defense of the Reich, organizer and head of the Volkssturm, a general in the SS and a general in the SA.

The defendant Bormann used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his intimate connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators and the consolidation of their control over Germany set forth in Count One of the indictment:

He promoted the preparations for war set forth in Count One of the indictment;

And he authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including a wide variety of crimes against person and property.

FPTCK

The defendant Frick between 1932-1945 was a member of the Nazi party, Reichsleiter, general in the SS, member of the Reichstag, Reich Minister of the Interior, Prussian Minister of the Interior, Reich Director of Elections, General Plenipotentiary for the administration for the Reich, head of the Central Office for the Reunification of Austria and the German Reich, director of the Central Office for the Incorporation of the Sudetenland, Memel, Danzig, the eastern incorporated territories, Eupen, Malmedy and Moresnot, director of the Central Office for the protectorate of Bohemia, Moravia, the Government General, Lower Styria, Upper Carinthia, Norway, Alsace, Lorraine and all other occupied territories and Reich protector for Bohemia and Moravia.

The defendant Frick used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his intimate connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators and the consolidation of their control over Germany set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He participated in the planning and preparation of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment;

And he authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including more particularly the crimes against persons and property in occupied territories.

LEY

The defendant Ley between 1932-1945 was a member of the Nazi party, Reichsleiter, Nazi party organization manager, member of the Reichstag, leader of the German Labor Front, a general in the SA and joint organizer of the central inspection for the care of foreign workers.

grid the contraction of the cont

The sound the first in the first the sound of the sound of the The defendant Ley used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his intimate connection with the Fuehrer in such a menner:

the factor of the He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators and the consolidation of their control over Germany as set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He promoted the preparation for war set forth in Count One of the indictment; He authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and in the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including particularly the war crimes and conduct of the aggressive wars. crimes against humanity relating to the abuse of human beings for labor in the

The defendent Sauckel between 1921 and 1945 was a member of the Nazi perty, Gauleiter and Reichsstatthelter of Thuringia, a member of the Reichstag, General Plenipotentiary for the employment of labor under the four-year plan, joint organizer with the defendant Ley of the central inspection for the care of foreign workers and general in the SS and general in the SA.

The defendant Sauckel used the foregoing positions and his personal influence in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He perticipated in the economic preparation for wars of aggression and wers in violation of treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts

One and Two of the indictments;

He authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including particularly the war crimes and crimes against humanity involved in forcing the inhabitants of occupied countries to work as slave laborers in occupied countries and in Germany.

SPEER

The defendant Speer between 1932-1945 was a member of the Nazi party, Reichsleiter, member of the Reichsteg, Reich Minister for Armament and Munitions, Chief of the Organization Todt, General Plenipotentiary for armaments in the office of the four-year plan and chairman of the Armament Council.

The defendant Speer used the foregoing positions and his personal influence in such a manner that:

He participated in the military and economic planning and preparation of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment:

And he authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including more particularly the abuse and exploitation of human beings for forced labor in the conduct of aggressive war.

FUNK

The defendant Funk between 1932-1945 was a member of the Nazi party, economic adviser to Hitler, National Socialist Deputy to the Reichstag, press chief of the Reich government, State Secretary of the Reich Ministry of Public Enlightenment and Propagenda, Reich Minister of Economics, Prussian Minister of Economics, President of the German Reichsbank, plenipotentiary for Economy and member of the Ministerial Council for the Defense of the Reich.

The defendant Funk used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his close connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators and the consolidation of their control over Germany set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He promoted the preparations for war set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He participated in the military and economic planning and preparation of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment:

And he authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including more particularly crimes against persons and property in connection with the economic exploitation of occupied territories.

SCHACHT

The defendant Schacht between 1932-1945 was a member of the Nazi party, a member of the Reichstag, Reich Minister of Economics, Reich Minister Without Portfolio and President of the German Reichsbank.

The defendant Schacht used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators and the consolidation of their control over Germany set forth in Count One of the indictment:

He promoted the preparations for war set forth in Count One of the indictment:

And he perticipated in the military and economic plans and preparation of Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment.

PAPEN

The defendant Papen between 1932-1945 was a member of the Nazi party, a member of the Reichstag, Reich Chancellor, Vice Chancellor under Hitler, Special Plenipotentiary for the Saar, negotiator of the concordat with the Vatican, Ambassador in Vienna and Ambassador in Turkey.

The defendant Papen used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his close connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators and participated in the consolidation of their control over Germany set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He promoted the preparations for war set forth in Count One of the indictment:

He participated in the military and economic planning and preparation of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment, and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment; including more particularly the exploitation and abuse of human beings for labor in the conduct of aggressive wars.

KRUPP

The defendant Krupp was between 1932-1945: Head of Friedrich Krupp A. G., a member of the General Economic Council, president of the Reich Union of German Industry and head of the group for mining and production of iron and metals under the Reich Ministry of Fconomics.

The defendent Krupp used the foregoing positions, his personal influence end his connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators and the consolidation of their control over Germany set forth in Count One of the indictment:

we a tea againment company that I do that a new year on the end of a feature of He promoted the preparation for wer set forth in Count One of the indictment;

AV. 50 1 ...

He participated in the military and economic planning and preparation of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment:

He authorized, directed and participated in the military and economic planning and preparation of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wers in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment;

And he authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including more particularly the exploitation and abuse of human beings for labor in the conduct of aggressive wars.

otoparii i Cromman did productor of NEURATH () of the first of the first out of the contract The defendant Neurath between 1932-1945 was a member of the Nazi party, a general in the SS, a member of the Reichstag, Reich Minister, Reich Minister of Foreign Affairs, President of the Secret Cabinet Council and Reich Protector for Bohemia and Moravia.

The defendant Neurath used the foregoing positions; his personal influence and his close connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He promoted the preparations for wer set forth in Count One of the inour dictment; and of the section of a tem original to decision of the state of transposition with a

He participated in the political planning and preparation of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the g indictment; applyed to be still respect to provide the applied and

In accordance, with the Fuehrer principle he executed and assumed responsibility for the execution of the foreign policy plans of the Nazi conspirators set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Four of indictment, including particularly the crimes against persons and property in the occupied territories. - 1/ SAI NO SAN SERVED AS STORY 120 SCHIRACH

The defendant Schirach between 1924 and 1945 was a member of the Nazi

distances a consist and form law.

To their team at the sound of the armount of

party, a member of the Reichstag, Reich Youth Leader, on the staff of the SA Supreme Command, Reichsleiter in the Nazi party for youth education, leader of the German Reich, head of the Hitler Jugend, Reich Defense Commissioner and Reichsetatthalter and Gauleiter of Vienna.

axianos ...

The defendant Schirach used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his intimate connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nezi conspirators and the consolidation of their control over Germany set forth in Count One of the indict-

He promoted the psychological and educational preparations for war and the militarization of Nazi dominated organizations set forth in Count One of the indictment:

barrant told out to have un-And he authorized, directed and participated in the crimes against humenity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including particularly enti-THE OF THE SECOND ENGINEERS OF Jewish measures.

SEYSS-INQUART

The defendant Seyss-Inquart between 1932-1945 was a member of the Nazi perty, a general in the SS, State Councilor of Austria, Minister of the Interior and Security of Austria, Chancellor of Austria, a member of the Reichstag, a member of the Reich Cabinet, Reich Minister Without Portfolio, Chief of the Civil Administration in south Poland, Deputy Governor General of the Polish occupied territory and Reich Commisser for the occupied Netherlands. a mentional to ball balance, which have not

The defendant Seyss-Inquart used the foregoing positions and his personal influence in such a manner that:

He promoted the seizure and the consolidation of control over Austria by the Nazi conspirators set forth in Count One of the indictment; interestational art the work

He participated in the political planning and preparation of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment;

And he authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including a wide variety of crimes against persons and property. The small representation will about direct the direct of STREICHER

The defendent Streicher between 1932-1945 was a member of the Nazi party, a member of the Reichstag, a general in the SA, Gauleiter of Franconia, editor in chief of the anti-Semitic newspaper Der Stuermer.

The defendant Streicher used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his close connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators and the consolidation of their control over Germany set forth in Count One of the indictment:

He authorized, directed and participated in the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including particularly the incitement of the persecution of the Jews set forth in Count One and Count Four of the indictment.

KEITEL

The defendant Keitel between 1938 and 1945 was Chief of the High Command of the German armed forces, member of the Secret Cabinet Council, member of the Council of Ministers for the defense of the Reich and Field Marshal.

The defendant Keitel used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his intimate connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the military preparations for war set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He participated in the political planning and preparation of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment;

He executed and assumed responsibility for the execution of the plans of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment:

He authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including particularly the war crimes and crimes against humanity involved in the ill treatment of prisoners of war and of the civilian population of occupied territories.

JODL

The defendant Jod1 between 1932 and 1945 was: Lieutenant Colonel, Army; Operations Department of the Wehrmacht, Colonel; Chief of OKW Operations Department; Major General, and Chief of Staff, OKW, Colonel General.

The defendant Jodl used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his close connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted the accession to power of the Nazi conspirators and the consolidation of their control over Germany set forth in Count One of the indictment; He promoted the preparations for war set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He participated in the military planning and preparation of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars in violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment:

And he authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and the crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including a wide variety of crimes against persons and property.

RAEDER

The defendant Reeder between 1928 and 1945 was: Commander in Chief of the German Navy, General Admiral, Gros Admiral, Admiral Inspector of the German Navy and a member of the Secret Cabinet Council.

The defendent Reeder used the foregoing positions and his personal influence in such a menner that:

He promoted the preparations for war set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He participated in the political planning and preparation of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars of violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment;

And he authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment, including particularly war crimes arising out of sea warfare.

DCENITZ

The defendant Doenitz between 1932 and 1945 was: Commanding Officer of the Weddigen U-Boat Flotilla, Commander in Chief of the U-Boat arm, Vice Admiral, Gross Admiral and Commander in Chief of the German Navy, edviser to Hitler and successor to Hitler as head of the German Government.

The defendant Doenitz used the foregoing positions, his personal influence and his intimate connection with the Fuehrer in such a manner that:

He promoted preparations for war set forth in Count One of the indictment;

He participated in military planning and preparation of the Nazi conspirators for wars of aggression and wars of violation of international treaties, agreements and assurances set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment:

And he authorized, directed and participated in the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment, including particularly the crimes against persons and property on the high seas.

FRITZSCHE

The defendant Fritzsche between 1933 and 1945 was a member of the Nazi party, editor in chief of the official German News Agency, Deutsches Nach-richten Buero, head of the wireless news service and of the home press division of the Reich Ministry of Propaganda Ministerialdirector of the Reich Ministry of Propaganda, head of the radio division of the propaganda department of the Nazi party and plenipotentiary for the political organization of the Greater German Radio.

The defendant Fritzsche used the foregoing positions and his personal influence to disseminate and exploit the principal doctrines of the Nazi conspirators set forth in Count One of the indictment and to advocate, encourage and incite the commission of the war crimes set forth in Count Three of the indictment and crimes against humanity set forth in Count Four of the indictment, including particularly, anti-Jewish measures and the ruthless exploitation of occupied territories.

APPENDIX B

Statement of criminality of groups and organizations

The statements hereinafter set forth, following the name of each group or organization named in the indictment as one which should be declared criminal, constitute matters upon which the prosecution will rely inter alia as establishing the criminality of the group or organization:

"Die Reichsregierung (Reich Cabinet)" referred to in the indictment consists of persons who were: (1) Members of the ordinary cabinet after 30 January 1933, the date on which Hitler became Chancellor of the German Republic; the term "Ordinary Cabinet" as used herein means the Reich Ministers, i.e., heads of departments of the Central Government; Reich Ministers Without Portfolio; State Ministers acting as Reich Ministers and other officials entitled to take part in meetings of this Cabinet. (2) Members of the Ministerrat fur die Reichsverteidigung (Council of Ministers for the Defense of the Reich). (3) Members of the Geheimer Kabinettsrat (Secret Cabinet Council).

Under the Fuehrer these persons functioning in the foregoing capacities and in association as a group, possessed and exercised legislative, executive, administrative and political powers and functions of a very high order in the system of German Government. Accordingly, they are charged with responsibility

for the policies adopted and put into effect by the Government, including those which comprehended and involved the commission of the crimes referred to in Counts One. Two. Three and Four of the indictment.

"Das Korps der Politischen Leiter der Nationalsozialistischen Deutschen Arbeiterpartei (Leadership corps of the Nazi party)" referred to in the indictment consists of persons who were at any time, according to common Nazi terminology, "politische Leiter" (political leaders) of any grade or rank.

The Politischen Leiter comprised the leaders of the various functional offices of the party (for example, the Reichsleitung, or party Reich directorate, and the Gauleitung, or party Gau directorate), as well as the territorial leaders of the party (for example, the Gauleiter).

The Politischen Leiter were a distinctive and elite group within the Nazi party proper and as such were vested with special prerogatives. They were organized according to the leadership principle and were charged with planning, developing and imposing upon their followers the policies of the Nazi party. Thus the territorial leaders among them were called Hoheitstraeger; or bearers of sovereignty, and were entitled to call upon and utilize the various party formations when necessary for the execution of party policies.

Reference is hereby made to the allegations in Count One of the indictment showing that the Nazi party was the central core of the common plan or conspiracy therein set forth. The Politischen Leiter, a major power within the Nazi party proper and functioning in the capacities above described and in association as a group joined in the common plan or conspiracy, and accordingly share responsibilities for the crimes set forth in Counts One, Two, Three, and Four of the indictment.

The prosecution expressly reserves the right to request at any time before sentence is pronounced that Politsche Leiter of subordinate grades or ranks or of other types or classes to be specified by the prosecution, be excepted from further proceedings in this case, but without prejudice to other proceedings or actions against them.

"Die Schutzstaffeln der Nationalsozialistischen Deutschen Arbeiterpartei (commonly known as the SS), including die Sicherheitsdienst (commonly known as the SD) referred to in the indictment consists of the entire corps of the SS and all offices, departments, services, agencies, branches, formations, organizations and groups of which it was at any time comprised or which were at any time integrated in it, including, but not limited to the Allegemeine SS, the Waffen SS, the SS Totenkopfverbande, SS, the SS Totenkopfverbande, Sicherheitsdienst, des Reichsfuehrers SS (commonly known as the SD).

The SS, originally established by Hitler in 1925 as an elite section of the SA to furnish a protective guard for the Fuehrer and Nazi party leaders, became an independent formation of the Nazi party in 1934 under the leadership

of the Reichsfuehrers SS, Heinrich Himmler. It was composed of voluntary members selected in accordance with Nazi biological, racial and political theories, completely indoctrinated in Nazi ideology and pledged to uncompromising obedience to the Fuehrer. After the accession of the Nazi conspirators to power, it developed many departments, agencies, formations and branches and extended its influence and control over numerous fields of governmental and party activity. Through Heinrich Himmler as Reichsfuehrer-SS and Chief of the German police agencies and units of the SS and of the Reich were joined in operations to form a unified repressive police force. The Sicherheitsdienst des Reichsfuehrers-SS (commonly known as the SD), a department of the SS, was developed into a vast-espionage and counter-intelligence system which operated in conjunction with the Gestapo and criminal police in detecting, suppressing and eliminating tendencies, group and individuals deemed hostile or potentially hostile to the Nazi party, its leaders, principles and objectives, and eventually was combined with the Gestapo and crimwith a final police in a single security police department, the Reich Main Security u and a **Office.** The residence of the constant of the constant of the constant of the file and the constant of the file and the constant of t

INVINION AD

Other branches of the SS developed into an armed force and served in the wars of aggression referred to in Counts One and Two of the indictment. Through other departments and branches the SS controlled the administration of concentration camps and the execution of Nezi racial, biological and resettlement policies. Through its numerous functions and activities it served as the instrument for insuring the domination of Nazi ideology and protecting and extending the Nazi regime over Germany and occupied territories. It thus participated in and is responsible for the crimes referred to in Counts One, Two and Four of the indictment.

"Die Geheime Staatspolizei (Secret State Police, commonly known as the Gestapo)," referred to in the indictment consists of the headquarters, department, offices, branches and all the forces and personnel of the Geheime Staatspolizei organized or existing at any time after January 20, 1933, including the Geheime Staatspolizei of Prussia and equivalent secret or political police forces of the Reich and components thereof.

recent or all of any department , were all first multiplication without a re-The Gestapo was created by the Nazi conspirators immediately after their accession to power, first in Prussia by the defendant Geering and shortly thereafter in all other states in the Reich these separate secret and political police forces were developed into a centralized uniformed organization operating through a central headquarters and through a network of regional offices in Germany and in occupied territories. Its officials and operatives were selected on the basis of unconditional acceptance of Nazi ideology, were largely drawn from members of the SS and were trained in SS and SD school. It acted to suppress and eliminate tendencies, groups and individuals deemed hostile or potentially hostile to the Nazi party, its leaders, principles and objectives and to repress resistance and potential resistance to German control in occupied territories. In performing these functions it operated free from legal control, taking any measures it deemed necessary for the accomplishment of its missions. takt blank villet blirgereg 2002 am blirekkenne dideteraktivit blirek b

Through its purposes, activities and the means it used, it participated in and is responsible for the commission of the crimes set forth in Counts One, Two, Three and Four of the indictment.

"Die Sturmabteilungen der Nationalsozialistischen Deutschen Arbeiterpartei (commonly known as the SA), " referred to in the indictment was a formation of the Nazi party under the immediate jurisdiction of the Fuehrer, organized on militant lines, whose membership was composed of volunteers serving es political soldiers of the party. It was one of the earliest formations of the Nazi party and the original guardian of the National Socialist movement. Founded in 1921 as a voluntary military formation, it was developed by the Nazi conspirators before their accession to power into a vast private army and utilized for the purpose of creating disorder and terrorizing and eliminating political opponents. It continued to serve as an instrument for the physical, ideological and military training of party members and as a reserve for the German armed forces. After the launching of the wars of aggression referred to in Counts One and Two of the indictment, the SA not only operated as an organization for military training but provided auxiliary police and security forces in occupied territories, guarded prisoner-of-war camps and concentration camps and supervised and controlled persons forced to labor in Germany and occupied territories. Through its purposes and activities and the means it used, it participated in and is responsible for the commission of the crimes set forth in Counts One, Two, Three and Four of the indictment.

The "General Staff and High Command of the German Armed Forces" referred to in the indictment consists of those individuals who between February, 1938, and May, 1945, were the highest commanders of the Wehrmacht, the Army, the Navy and the Air Forces. The individuals comprising this group are the persons who held the following appointments:

Oberbefehlshaber der Kreigsmarine (Commander in Chief of the Navy) Chef (and formerly Chef des Stabes) der Seekriegsleitung (Chief of Naval War Staff);

Oberbefehlshaber des Heeres (Commender in Chief of the Army), Chef des Generalstabes des Heeres (Chief of the General Staff of the Army);

Oberbefehlshaber der Luftweffe (Commander in Chief of the Air Forces), Chef des Generalstabes der Luftweffe (Chief of the General Staff of the Air Forces);

Chef des Obkerkommandes der Wehrmacht (Chief of the High Command of the Armed Forces);

Chef des Fuehrungstabes des Oberkommendos der Wehrmacht (Chief of the Operations Staff of the High Commend of the Armed Forces);

Stellvertretender Chef des Fuehrungstabes des Oberkommandos der Wehrmacht (Deputy Chief of the Operations Steff of the High Command of the Armed
Forces);

Commander in Chief in the Field, with the status of Oberfehlshaber, of the Wehrmacht, Navy, Army, Air Force.

Functioning in such capacities and in association as a group at the highest level in the German armed forces organization, these persons had a major responsibility for the planning, preparation, initiation and waging of illegal wars as set forth in Counts One and Two of the indictment and for the war crimes and crimes against humanity involved in the execution of the common plan or conspiracy set forth in Counts Three and Four of the indictment.

APPENDIX C

Charges and particulars of violations of international treaties,

agreements and assurances caused by the defendants in the course of planning,

preparing and initiating the wars.

I Charge

Violation of the convention for the pacific settlement of peace treaties signed at The Hague, 29 July 1899.

Particulars

In that Germany did, by force and arms, on the dates specified in Column 1, invade the territory of the sovereign specified in Column 2, respectively, without first having attempted to settle its disputes with said sovereigns by pacific means.

Column 1 6 April 1941 6 April 1941

Column 2
Kingdom of Greece
Kingdom of Yugoslavia

II Charge

Violation of the convention for the pacific settlement of international disputes signed at The Hague, 18 October 1907.

Particulars

In that Germany did, on or about the dates specified in Column 1, by force of arms invade the territory of the sovereigns specified in Column 2, respectively, without having first attempted to settle its dispute with said sovereign by pacific means.

Column 1 1 September 1939

9 April 1940

9 April 1940

10 May 1940

10 May 1940 10 May 1940

22 June 1941

Column 2 Republic of Poland Kingdom of Norway Kingdom of Denmark Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg Kingdom of Belgium Kingdom of the Netherlands Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

Charge

Violation of Hague Convention III relative to the opening of hostilities, signed 18 October 1907.

Particulars

In that Germany did, on or about the dates specified in Column 1, commence hostilities against the countries specified in Column 2, respectively, without previous warning in the form of a reasoned declaration of war or an ultimatum with conditional declaration of war.

Column 1

10 May 1940

22 June 1941

Column 2

Column 1

1 September 1939

9 April 1940

9 April 1940

9 April 1940

Kingdom of Denmark

Kingdom of Belgium

Kingdom of Belgium

10 May 1940

Kingdom of the Netherlands

10 May 1940 Grand Duchy of Luxembourg

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

" of the case to the state of the second control of the second con

Violation of Hague Convention V respecting the rights and duties of neuviolation of hague Convention v respecting the right tral powers and persons in case of war on land, signed October 18, 1907.

Particulars

In that Germany did, on or about the dates specified in Column 1, by force and arms of its military forces, cross into, invade and occupy the territories of the sovereigns specified in Column 2, respectively, then and thereby violating the neutrality of said sovereigns.

9 April 1940

Column 2

9 April 1940 Kingdom of Norway

Kingdom of Denmark

Grand Duchy of Luxembourg

10 May 1940 10 May 1940 10 May 1940

Kingdom of Belgium Kingdom of the Netherlands 22 June 1941 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

Charge

Violation of the treaty of peace between the Allied and associated powers and Germany, signed at Versailles, 28 June 1919, known as the Versailles Treaty.

Particulars

- (A) In that Germany did, on and after 7 March 1936, maintain and assemble armed forces and maintain and construct military fortifications in the demilitarized zone of the Rhineland in violation of the provision of Articles 42 and 44 of the Treaty of Versailles.
- (B) In that Germany did, on or about 13 March 1938, annex Austria into the German Reich in violation of the provisions of Article 80 of the Treaty of Versailles.
- (C) In that Germany did, on or about 22 March 1939, incorporate the District of Memel into the German Reich in violeticn of the provisions of Article 99 of the Treaty of Versailles.
- (D) In that Germany did, on or about 1 September 1939, incorporate the Free City of Danzig into the German Reich in violation of the provisions of Article 100 of the Treaty of Versailles.
- (E) In that Germany did, on or about 16 March 1939, incorporate the Provinces of Bohemia and Moravia, formerly part of Czechoslovakia, into the German Reich in violation of the provisions of Article 81 of the Treaty of Versailles.
- (F) In that Germany did, at various times in March, 1935, and thereafter, repudiate various parts of Part V, Military, Naval and Air clauses of the Treaty of Versailles, by creating an air force by use of compulsory military service, by increasing the size of the army beyond treaty limits and by increasing the size of the nevy beyond treaty units.

Charge

Violation of the treaty between the United States and Germany restoring friendly relations, signed at Berlin, August 25, 1921.

Particulars

That Germany did, at various times in March, 1935, and thereafter, repudiate various parts of Part V, Military, Navel and Air clauses of the treaty between the United States and Germany restoring friendly relations by creating an air force, by use of compulsory military service, by increasing the size of the army beyond treaty limits and by increasing the size of the navy beyond treaty limits.

VII Charge

Violation of the treaty of mutual guarantee between Germany, Belgium, France, Great Britain and Italy, done at Locarno, October 16, 1925.

Particulars

- (A) In that Germany, did, on or about March 7, 1936, unlawfully send armed forces into the Rhineland, demilitarized zone of Germany, in violation of Article I of the Treaty of Mutual Guarantee.
- (B) In that Germany did, in or about Merch 1936, and therefter unlawfully train armed forces in the Rhineland, demilitarized zone of Germany, in violation of Article I of the Treaty of Mutual Guarantee.
- (C) In that Germany did, on or about March 7, 1936, and thereafter, unlawfully construct and maintain fortifications in the Rhineland demilitarized zone of Germany in violation of Article I of the Treaty of Mutual Guarantee.
- (D) In that Germany did, on or about 10 May 1940, unlawfully attack and invade Belgium, in violation of Article II of the Treaty of Mutual Guarantee.
- (E) In that Germany did, on or about May 10, 1940, unlawfully attack and invade Belgium, without first having attempted to settle its dispute with Belgium by peaceful means, in violation of Article III of the Treaty of Mutual Guarantee.

VIII

Violation of the Arbitration Treaty between Germany and Czechoslovakia, done at Locarno, October 16, 1925.

Particulars

In that Germany did, on or about March 15, 1939, unlawfully by duress and threats of military might force Czechoslovakia to deliver the destiny of Czechoslovakia and its inhabitants into the hands of the Fuehrer and Reichschancellor of Germany without having attempted to settle its dispute with Czechoslovakia by peaceful means.

IX Charge

Violation of the Arbitration Convention between Germany and Belgium, done at Locarno, October 16, 1925.

Particulars

In that Germany did, on or about May 10, 1940, unlawfully attack and invade Belgium without first having attempted to settle its dispute with Belgium by peaceful measures.

X Charge

Violation of the Arbitrations Treaty between Germany and Poland, done at Locarno, October 16, 1925.

Particulars

In that Germany did, on or about September 1, 1939, unlawfully attack and invade Poland without first having attempted to settle its dispute with Poland by peaceful means.

XI Charge

Violation of Convention of Arbitration and Conciliation entered into between Germany and the Netherlands on May 20, 1926.

Particulars

In that Germany, without warning, and notwithstanding its solemn covenant to settle by peaceful means all disputes of any nature whatever which might arise between it and the Netherlands which were not capable of settlement by diplomacy and which had not been referred by mutual agreement to the Permanent Court of International Justice, did, on or about May 10, 1940, with a military force, attack, invade, and occupy the Netherlands, thereby violating its neutrality and territorial integrity and destroying its sovereign independence.

XII Charge

Violation of convention of arbitration and conciliation entered into between Germany and Denmark on 2 June 1926.

Particulars

In that Germany, without warning, and notwithstanding its solemn covenant to settle by peaceful means all disputes of any nature whatever which might

arise between it and Denmark which were not capable of settlement by diplomacy and which had not been referred by mutual agreement to the permanent court of international justice, did, on or about 9 April 1940, with a military force, attack, invade, and occupy Denmark, thereby violating its neutrality and territorial integrity and destroying its sovereign independence.

N N 1 1 1 2 2 2 2

a too to protect the late of the

XIII Charge Violation of treaty between Germany and other powers providing for renunciation of wars as an instrument of national policy, signed at Paris 27 August 1928, known as the Kellogg-Briand Pact. the the latest to the design of

Particulars Particulars

In that Germany did, on or about the dates specified in Column 1, with a military force, attack the sovereigns specified in Column 2, respectively, and resort to war against such sovereigns in violation of its solemn declaration condemning recourse to war for the solution of international controversies, its solemn renunciation of wer as an instrument of national policy in its relations with such sovereigns and its sclemn covenant that settlement of solution of all disputes or conflicts of whatever nature or origin arising between it and such sovereigns should never be sought except by pacific means.

	Column 1		Column 2
*	1 September 1	1030	Pormblin of Poland
100	9 April 1940	and the second of the second o	
3	9 April 1940	Dark who well promoter to see	Kingdom of Denmark
	10 May 1940	, shetawa to see	Kingdom of Belgium
	10 May 1940	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Grand Duchy of Luxembourg
	10 May 1940		Kingdom of the Netherlands
	6 April 1941		Kingdom of Greece
	6 April 1941	5 C.	Kingdom of Yugoslavia
	22 June 1941	* GET AND AT TO A PROPERTY I	Union of Soviet Socialist
			Republics
	11 December 1	1941	United States of America

It style Generally country that previous IS I have an 1878 to 14 Morath The in the control addition . The Charge test and the state of the state to the state of the sta

Violation of treaty of arbitration and conciliation entered into between Germany and Luxembourg on 11 September 1929.

Particulars

In that Germany, without warning, and notwithstanding its solemn covenant to settle by peaceful means all disputes which might arise between it and Luxembourg which were not capable of settlement by diplomacy did, on or about 10 May 1940, with a military force, attack, invade and occupy Luxembourg,

thereby violating its neutrality and territorial integrity and destroying its sovereign independence.

XV Charge

Violation of the declaration of nonaggression entered into between Germany and Poland on 26 January 1934.

Particulars

In that Germany, proceeding to the application of force for the purpose of reaching a decision, did, on or about 1 September 1939, at various places along the German-Polish frontier employ military forces to attack, invade and commit other acts of aggression against Poland.

XVI Charge

Violation of German assurance given on 21 May 1935, that the inviolability and integrity of the Federal State of Austria would be recognized.

Particulars

In that Germany did, on or about 12 March 1938, at various points and places along the German-Austrian frontier with a military force and in violation of its solemn declaration and assurance, invade and annex to Germany the territory of the Federal State of Austria.

XVII Charge

Violation of Austro-German agreement of 11 July 1936.

Particulars

In that Germany during the period from 12 February 1938 to 13 March1938 did by duress and various aggressive acts, including the use of militery force, cause the Federal State of Austria to yield up its sovereignty
to the German State in violation of Germany's agreement to recognize the
full sovereignty of the Federal State of Austria.

XVIII Charge

Violation of German assurances given on 30 January 1937, 28 April 1939, 26 August 1939 and 6 October 1939 to respect the neutrality and territorial inviolability of the Netherlands.

Particulars

In that Germany, without warning, and without recourse to peaceful means of settling any considered differences did, on or about 10 May 1940, with a military force and in violation of its solemn asssurances, invade, occupy and attempt to subjugate the sovereign territory of the Netherlands.

> XIX Charge

Violation of German assurances given on 30 January 1937, 13 October 1937, 28 April 1939, 26 August 1939 and 6 October 1939 to respect the neutrality and territorial integrity and inviolability of Belgium.

Particulars

In that Germany, without warning, did on or about 10 May 1940, with a military force and in violation of its solemn assurences and declarations, attack, invade and occupy the sovereign territory of Belgium.

XX .

Charge

Violation of assurances given on 11 March 1938 and 26 September 1938 to Czechoslovakia.

Particulars

In that Germany, on or about 15 Merch 1939, did under duress and by the threat of force, violate the assurance given on 11 March 1938 to respect the territorial integrity of the Czechoslovak Republic and the assurance given on 26 September 1938, that, if the so-called Sudeten territories were ceded to Germany, no further German territorial claims on Czechoslovakia would be made.

Charge

After the first met and Violation of the Munich Agreement and Annexes of 29 September 1938.

Particulars

- (a) In that Germany on or about 15 March 1939-did by duress and the threat of military intervention force the Republic of Czechoslovakia to deliver the destiny of the Gzech people and country into the hands of the Fuehrer of the German Reich.
- (b) In that Germany refused and failed to join in an international guarantee of the new boundaries of the Czechoslovak State as provided for in Annex No. 1 to the Munich Agreement. e per America de la companió de como especial de como esp

XXII Charge

Violation of the solemn assurances of Germany given on 3 September 1939, 28 April 1939 and 6 October 1939 that they would not violate the independence or sovereignty of the Kingdom of Norway.

Particulars

In that Germany, without warning, did on or about 9 April 1940 with its military and naval forces attack, invade and commit other acts of aggression against the Kingdom of Norway.

XXIII Charge

Violation of German assurances given on 28 April 1939 and 26 August 1939 to respect the neutrality and territorial inviolability of Luxembourg.

Particulars

In that Germany, without warning, and without recourse to peaceful means of settling any considered difference, did, on or about 10 May 1940, with a military force and in violation of the solemn assurances invade, occupy and absorb into Germany the sovereign territory of Luxembourg.

XXIV Charge

Violation of the treaty of non-aggression between Germany and Denmark signed at Berlin 31 May 1939.

Particulars

In that Germany without prior warning did, on or about 9 April 1940, with its military forces attack, invade and commit other acts of aggression against the Kingdom of Denmark.

XXV Charge

Violation of treaty of non-aggression entered into between Germany and U.S.S.R. on 23 August 1939.

Particulars

- (a) In that Germany did, on or about 22 June 1941 employ military forces to attack and commit acts of aggression against the U.S.S.R.
 - (b) In that Germany without warming or recourse to a friendly exchange

of views or arbitration, did, on or about 22 June 1941 employ military forces to attack and commit acts of aggression against the U.S.S.R.

XXVI Charge

Violation of German assurance given on 6 October 1939 to respect the neutrality and territorial integrity of Yugoslavia.

Particulars

In that Germany without prior warning did, on or about 6 April 1941, with its military forces attack, invade and commit other acts of aggression against the Kingdom of Yugoslavia.

DEFINITION OF THE CRIMES

(From the Charter of the International Military Tribunal)

Article 6. The Tribunal established by the agreement referred to in Article 1 hereof for the trial and punishment of the major war criminals of the European Axis countries shall have the power to try and punish persons who, acting in the interest of the European Axis countries, whether as individuals or as members of organizations, committed any of the following crimes:

The following acts, or any of them, are crimes coming within the jurisdiction of the Tribunal for which there shall be individual responsibility:

- (A) Crimes against peace. Namely, planning, preparation, initiation or waging of a war of aggression, or war in violation of international treaties, agreements, or assurances, or participation in a common plan or conspiracy for the accomplishment of any of the foregoing.
- (B) War Crimes. Namely, violations of the laws or customs of war. Such violations shall include, but not be limited to, murder, ill-treatment or deportation to slave labor or for any other purpose of civilian population of, or in, occupied territory, murder or ill-treatment of prisoners of war or persons on the seas, killing of hostages, plunder of public or private property, wanton destruction of cities, towns or villages or devastation not justified by military necessity.
- (C) Crimes against humanity. Namely, murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation, and other inhumane acts committed against any civilian population, before or during the war; or persecutions on political, racial, or religious grounds in execution of, or in connection with, any crime within the jurisdiction of the Tribunal, whether or not in violation of the domestic law of the country where perpetrated.

Leaders, organizers, instigators and accomplices participating in the formulation or execution of a common plan or conspiracy to commit any of the foregoing crimes are responsible for all acts performed by any persons in execution of such plan.